

Optimising electric vehicle wireless charging systems using neural networks to enable free-position parking

H. Merrigan, H.-S. Wu, A. Lesage-Landry, K. Shigematsu, M. Yamamoto,
J. Imaoka

G-2025-17

February 2025

La collection *Les Cahiers du GERAD* est constituée des travaux de recherche menés par nos membres. La plupart de ces documents de travail a été soumis à des revues avec comité de révision. Lorsqu'un document est accepté et publié, le pdf original est retiré si c'est nécessaire et un lien vers l'article publié est ajouté.

Citation suggérée : H. Merrigan, H.-S. Wu, A. Lesage-Landry, K. Shigematsu, M. Yamamoto, J. Imaoka (Février 2025). Optimising electric vehicle wireless charging systems using neural networks to enable free-position parking, Rapport technique, *Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2025-17*, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Avant de citer ce rapport technique, veuillez visiter notre site Web (<https://www.gerad.ca/fr/papers/G-2025-17>) afin de mettre à jour vos données de référence, s'il a été publié dans une revue scientifique.

La publication de ces rapports de recherche est rendue possible grâce au soutien de HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, Université McGill, Université du Québec à Montréal, ainsi que du Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Dépôt légal – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2025
– Bibliothèque et Archives Canada, 2025

The series *Les Cahiers du GERAD* consists of working papers carried out by our members. Most of these pre-prints have been submitted to peer-reviewed journals. When accepted and published, if necessary, the original pdf is removed and a link to the published article is added.

Suggested citation: H. Merrigan, H.-S. Wu, A. Lesage-Landry, K. Shigematsu, M. Yamamoto, J. Imaoka (February 2025). Optimising electric vehicle wireless charging systems using neural networks to enable free-position parking, Technical report, *Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2025-17*, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Before citing this technical report, please visit our website (<https://www.gerad.ca/en/papers/G-2025-17>) to update your reference data, if it has been published in a scientific journal.

The publication of these research reports is made possible thanks to the support of HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, McGill University, Université du Québec à Montréal, as well as the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Legal deposit – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2025
– Library and Archives Canada, 2025

Optimising electric vehicle wireless charging systems using neural networks to enable free-position parking

Hannah Merrigan ^{a, b, c}

Yu-Hsin Wu ^a

Antoine Lesage-Landry ^{b, c}

Koichi Shigematsu ^a

Masayoshi Yamamoto ^a

Jun Imaoka ^a

^a Department of Electrical Engineering, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan

^b Département de génie électrique, Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, (Qc), Canada, H3T 1J4

^c GERAD, Montréal (Qc), Canada, H3T 1J4

merrigan.hannah.claire.a4@s.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp
antoine.lesage-landry@polymtl.ca

February 2025

Les Cahiers du GERAD

G-2025-17

Copyright © 2025 Merrigan, Wu, Lesage-Landry, Shigematsu, Yamamoto, Imaoka

Les textes publiés dans la série des rapports de recherche *Les Cahiers du GERAD* n'engagent que la responsabilité de leurs auteurs. Les auteurs conservent leur droit d'auteur et leurs droits moraux sur leurs publications et les utilisateurs s'engagent à reconnaître et respecter les exigences légales associées à ces droits. Ainsi, les utilisateurs:

- Peuvent télécharger et imprimer une copie de toute publication du portail public aux fins d'étude ou de recherche privée;
- Ne peuvent pas distribuer le matériel ou l'utiliser pour une activité à but lucratif ou pour un gain commercial;
- Peuvent distribuer gratuitement l'URL identifiant la publication.

Si vous pensez que ce document enfreint le droit d'auteur, contactez-nous en fournissant des détails. Nous supprimerons immédiatement l'accès au travail et enquêterons sur votre demande.

The authors are exclusively responsible for the content of their research papers published in the series *Les Cahiers du GERAD*. Copyright and moral rights for the publications are retained by the authors and the users must commit themselves to recognize and abide the legal requirements associated with these rights. Thus, users:

- May download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research;
- May not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain;
- May freely distribute the URL identifying the publication.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Abstract : This study explores wireless power transfer (WPT) systems for public electric vehicle charging, focusing on optimising the transmitter design to enhance interoperability across various receiver coil geometries and alignment conditions. Due to the complex non-linear relationships inherent to WPT systems, traditional optimisation methods are computationally expensive. Therefore, this study proposes an approach using artificial neural networks (ANNs) trained on finite element method (FEM) data to develop a surrogate model of the WPT system. This model is integrated into a blackbox optimisation solver, enabling faster identification of improved transmitter designs. The proposed method achieves computational speeds 6,000 times faster than traditional FEM simulations, with post-validation on the final solutions verifying prediction errors below 0.6%. The results demonstrate a significant acceleration in the optimisation process, establishing this method as an effective framework for developing practical WPT systems for public charging applications.

Optimising Electric Vehicle Wireless Charging Systems Using Neural Networks to Enable Free-Position Parking

Hannah Merrigan[†], Yu-Hsin Wu, Antoine Lesage-Landry, Koichi Shigematsu, Masayoshi Yamamoto, Jun Imaoka

[†] Department of Electrical Engineering, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan, merrigan@matsumura.ee.nagoya-u.ac.jp

1. Introduction

The public charging network for Electric Vehicles (EVs) will soon include **Wireless Charging**. The most popular **Wireless Power Transfer (WPT)** technique uses a magnetic field to transfer energy between inductive coils [1].

Advantages of hands-free Wireless Charging [2; 3]:

- User Convenience
- Autonomous EV Compatible
- Smart Grid Compatible
- Col Misalignment while parking.
- Geometric Incompatibility from different receiver coil variations.

These cause drops in the system's Power Transfer Efficiency (PTE, η).

2. Optimisation Method

Design Optimisation typically implements Analytical Models and Finite Element Method (FEM)

Simulations, which are time-consuming and resource-intensive [5; 6].

This study leverages Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) trained on FEM data as a Surrogate Model. This model is used by a Blackbox Optimiser to expedite the optimisation process [7].

Machine Learning Data-Driven Workflow



The WPT system is represented by two models.

1. 3D Model - Magnetic Characteristics (MC), Ansys Maxwell

The MC model is a 3D finite element simulation of the WPT system.

2. Circuit Model - Electric Characteristic (EC), PLECS

The EC model is a circuit diagram representing the WPT system.

3. System Design

The WPT system is represented by two models.

1. 3D Model - Magnetic Characteristics (MC), Ansys Maxwell

The MC model is a 3D finite element simulation of the WPT system.

2. Circuit Model - Electric Characteristic (EC), PLECS

The EC model is a circuit diagram representing the WPT system.

4. Data Collection

A uniform random distribution of data was sampled across the continuous design space, using a Latin hypercube space-filling method [8] in DOE [9].

The final dataset:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

5. Surrogate Model Development

The Surrogate Model uses two consecutive ANNs:

1. The Magnetic Characteristics (MC) Model

With each ANN Model Parameters:

2. The Electric Characteristics (EC) Model

With each ANN Model Parameters:

Table 1: ANN Model Parameters

Batch Size 300

Epochs 300

Nodes per Layer 100

With ReLU activation functions, ADAM optimiser for back-propagation, and RMSE loss function.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

Both models achieve a final training loss < 3% and R² score > 0.85.

To determine the average system performance, each transmitter coil design is tested against 75 random receiver coil samples.

Deterministic – Variable Mesh Grid Search (C++ / NMHD 3.9) [11]

Stochastic – Uniform Random Sampling (Python SciPy) [10]

Quasi-Monte Carlo

Deterministic – Mesh Adaptive Direct Search

Stochastic – Multi-objective Optimisation

Design Objectives

- Power Transfer
- Cost

Figure 4: ANN Training and Test Loss (EC)

Figure 5: ANN Training and Test Loss (MC)

Figure 6: Stochastic Scenario-Based Optimisation

Two optimisation algorithms were used for comparison:

1. MADS

Mesh Adaptive Direct Search

Stochastic – Uniform Random Sampling (Python SciPy) [10]

2. QMCA

Quasi-Monte Carlo

Deterministic – Variable Mesh Grid Search (C++ / NMHD 3.9) [11]

Figure 7: Blackbox Optimisation Process

Table 2: Receiver Coil Misalignment Ranges

Misalignment Range X-axis ±10mm ±75mm ±100mm – 250mm

Y-axis ±400mm ±800mm ±800mm – 1000mm

Z-axis ±800mm ±1000mm ±1000mm – 2000mm

Rear-world [13]

Figure 8: Blackbox Optimisation Results

As shown above, the MADS algorithm converges with fewer evaluations, outperforming the QMCA algorithm.

The best transmitter designs from the optimisation stage were resimulated to confirm the error of the ANN.

The best transmitter designs from the optimisation stage were resimulated to confirm the error of the ANN.

The proposed design optimisation framework is:

- 6,000 times faster than traditional FEM simulations
- High accuracy with prediction errors < 0.6%

The performance of the optimised transmitter coil design under:

• SAE-defined misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.93%, below the 85% threshold

• Real-world misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.31%, below the 85% threshold

To address the low performance under real-world conditions, future research will focus on the development of a multi-coil transmitter system.

This research demonstrates the effectiveness of ANN-based optimisation for WPT system design, paving the way for more efficient, convenient, and adaptable public charging solutions.

6. Stochastic Scenario-based Optimisation

Both models achieved a final training loss < 3% and R² score > 0.85.

Figure 6: Stochastic Scenario-Based Optimisation

Figure 7: Blackbox Optimisation Process

Table 2: Receiver Coil Misalignment Ranges

Misalignment Range X-axis ±10mm ±75mm ±100mm – 250mm

Y-axis ±400mm ±800mm ±800mm – 1000mm

Z-axis ±800mm ±1000mm ±1000mm – 2000mm

Rear-world [13]

Figure 8: Blackbox Optimisation Results

As shown above, the MADS algorithm converges with fewer evaluations, outperforming the QMCA algorithm.

The best transmitter designs from the optimisation stage were resimulated to confirm the error of the ANN.

The proposed design optimisation framework is:

- 6,000 times faster than traditional FEM simulations
- High accuracy with prediction errors < 0.6%

The performance of the optimised transmitter coil design under:

• SAE-defined misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.93%, below the 85% threshold

• Real-world misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.31%, below the 85% threshold

To address the low performance under real-world conditions, future research will focus on the development of a multi-coil transmitter system.

This research demonstrates the effectiveness of ANN-based optimisation for WPT system design, paving the way for more efficient, convenient, and adaptable public charging solutions.

7. Blackbox Optimisation

The best transmitter designs from the optimisation stage were resimulated to confirm the error of the ANN.

The proposed design optimisation framework is:

- 6,000 times faster than traditional FEM simulations
- High accuracy with prediction errors < 0.6%

The performance of the optimised transmitter coil design under:

• SAE-defined misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.93%, below the 85% threshold

• Real-world misalignment:

Average PTE = 58.31%, below the 85% threshold

To address the low performance under real-world conditions, future research will focus on the development of a multi-coil transmitter system.

This research demonstrates the effectiveness of ANN-based optimisation for WPT system design, paving the way for more efficient, convenient, and adaptable public charging solutions.

8. Optimisation Results

The Pareto front plots show the impact of misalignment range on transmitter performance. In both cases, coils with fewer turns and mid-range outer diameters have the highest average performances.

In both cases, coils with fewer turns and mid-range outer diameters have the highest average performances.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of simulation time.

The final design:

- 1,375 samples were generated.
- 62 hours of