Extremal chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3 for 33 degree-based topological indices

S. Bonte, G. Devillez, V. Dussolier, A. Hertz, H. Mélot

G–2025–05

January 2025

La collection Les Cahiers du GERAD est constituée des travaux de
recherche menés par nos membres. La plupart de ces documents de
travail a été soumis à des revues avec comité de révision. Lorsqu'un
document est accepté et publié, le pdf original est retiré si c'est
nécessaire et un lien vers l'article publié est ajouté.

Citation suggérée : S. Bonte, G. Devillez, V. Dussolier, A. Hertz, H. Mélot (Janvier 2025). Extremal chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3 for 33 degree-based topological indices, Rapport technique, Les Cahiers du GERAD G- 2025-05, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Avant de citer ce rapport technique, veuillez visiter notre site Web (https://www.gerad.ca/fr/papers/G-2025-05) afin de mettre à jour vos données de référence, s'il a été publié dans une revue scientifique.

La publication de ces rapports de recherche est rendue possible grâce au soutien de HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, Université McGill, Université du Québec à Montréal, ainsi que du Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Dépôt légal – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2025 – Bibliothèque et Archives Canada, 2025 The series *Les Cahiers du GERAD* consists of working papers carried out by our members. Most of these pre-prints have been submitted to peer-reviewed journals. When accepted and published, if necessary, the original pdf is removed and a link to the published article is added.

Suggested citation: S. Bonte, G. Devillez, V. Dussolier, A. Hertz, H. Mélot (January 2025). Extremal chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3 for 33 degree-based topological indices, Technical report, Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2025-05, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Before citing this technical report, please visit our website (https: //www.gerad.ca/en/papers/G-2025-05) to update your reference data, if it has been published in a scientific journal.

The publication of these research reports is made possible thanks to the support of HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, McGill University, Université du Québec à Montréal, as well as the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Legal deposit – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2025 – Library and Archives Canada, 2025

GERAD HEC Montréal 3000, chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine Montréal (Québec) Canada H3T 2A7

Tél.: 514 340-6053 Téléc.: 514 340-5665 info@gerad.ca www.gerad.ca

Extremal chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3 for 33 degree-based topological indices

Sébastien Bonte ^{a, b} Gauvain Devillez ^a Valentin Dusollier ^{a, b} Alain Hertz ^{b, c} Hadrien Mélot ^a

- ^a Computer Science Department Algorithms Lab, University of Mons, Mons, Belgium
- ^b GERAD, Montréal (Qc), Canada, H3T 1J4
- ^c Département de mathématiques et de génie industriel, Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, (Qc), Canada, H3T 1J4

sebastien.bonte@umons.ac.be
gauvain.devillez@umons.ac.be
valentin.dusollier@umons.ac.be
alain.hertz@gerad.ca
hadrien.melot@umons.ac.be

January 2025 Les Cahiers du GERAD G–2025–05

Copyright © 2025 Bonte, Devillez, Dussolier, Hertz, Mélot

Les textes publiés dans la série des rapports de recherche *Les Cahiers du GERAD* n'engagent que la responsabilité de leurs auteurs. Les auteurs conservent leur droit d'auteur et leurs droits moraux sur leurs publications et les utilisateurs s'engagent à reconnaître et respecter les exigences légales associées à ces droits. Ainsi, les utilisateurs:

- Peuvent télécharger et imprimer une copie de toute publica-
- tion du portail public aux fins d'étude ou de recherche privée;
 Ne peuvent pas distribuer le matériel ou l'utiliser pour une activité à but lucratif ou pour un gain commercial;
- Peuvent distribuer gratuitement l'URL identifiant la publication.

Si vous pensez que ce document enfreint le droit d'auteur, contacteznous en fournissant des détails. Nous supprimerons immédiatement l'accès au travail et enquêterons sur votre demande. The authors are exclusively responsible for the content of their research papers published in the series *Les Cahiers du GERAD*. Copyright and moral rights for the publications are retained by the authors and the users must commit themselves to recognize and abide the legal requirements associated with these rights. Thus, users:

- May download and print one copy of any publication from the
- public portal for the purpose of private study or research;May not further distribute the material or use it for any profitmaking activity or commercial gain;
- May freely distribute the URL identifying the publication.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim. **Abstract :** We consider chemical graphs that are defined as connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3.We characterize the extremal ones, that is, those that maximize or minimize 33 degree-based topological indices. This study shows that five graph families are sufficient to characterize the extremal chemical graphs of 29 of these 33 indices. In other words, the extremal properties of this set of degree-based topological indices vary very little.

1 Introduction

Chemical graphs provide a powerful tool for modeling molecular structures. These graphs, where vertices represent atoms and edges represent bonds, allow researchers to investigate various chemical and physical properties of molecules through graph-theoretical concepts.

According to Patrick Fowler [21]: "The definition of chemical graphs that is useful depends on context. Two definitions appropriate to different kinds of carbon framework can be found in the literature. The graphs that can be regarded as skeletons of saturated hydrocarbons (such as alkanes), are connected and have maximum degree Δ at most 4. If instead the interest is in (unsaturated) conjugated π systems, such as alkenes, polyenes, benzenoids, and fullerenes, the maximum degree should be at most 3, since a conjugated carbon atom participates in at most three single bonds."

In this paper, we focus on the second definition of chemical graphs (where the maximum degree is at most 3) and explore the bounds on topological indices of such graphs. A topological index, or molecular descriptor, is a graph invariant used to study specific physicochemical properties of molecules. Among the most well-known indices is the Randić index, introduced by Milan Randić [38] in 1975, which has been widely used in quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) and quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) studies. Its value Ra(G) for a chemical graph G is defined as

$$Ra(G) = \sum_{vw \in E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v)d(w)}},$$

where d(v) is the degree of vertex v. This is an example of so-called *degree-based* topological index, that is an index computed from the sum of the weights of the edges, each edge vw having a weight defined by a formula using the degrees of v and w.

As stated by Ivan Gutman [24], "Countless topological indices have been and are being proposed so far, in many cases without any examination if these correlate with any of the various physical properties, chemical reactivity or biological activity. To use a mild expression, today we have far too many such descriptors, and there seems to lack a firm criterion to stop or slow down their proliferation."

In this paper, we consider 33 degree-based topological indices that we found in the literature (see Section 2) and whose extremal properties have given rise to scientific publications [1–5, 7–17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29–35, 37, 39–45]. In the same spirit as Gutman's words, we can wonder whether these indices are very different from each other. We provide a partial answer by analyzing the extremal properties of these indices. We use the word "partial" for several reasons. First, we are only interested in the *extremal* properties of topological indices and it could therefore be that various indices are distinguished by other properties of interest to chemists. Second, we only deal with chemical graphs of maximum degree at most 3. Finally, the list of topological indices studied in this article is not exhaustive, although we have tried to consider the most cited and studied in the scientific literature. Our conclusions will be clear: five families of chemical graphs are sufficient to characterize the vast majority of extremal chemical graphs of degree-based topological indices.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph of order n = |V| and size m = |E|. The maximum degree of a graph G is denoted $\Delta(G)$. An edge with endpoints u and v of degree d(u) = i and d(v) = j is called an (i, j)-edge and is denoted uv. We denote x_{ij} the number of (i, j)-edges in G while n_i is the number of vertices in G of degree i. In what follows, K_n , P_n and C_n denote the complete graph of order n, the path of order n and the cycle of order n, respectively.

In the next section, we give a precise definition of the chemical graphs considered in this paper and we give the list of 33 topological indices whose extremal properties are analyzed. Section 3 is dedicated to defining five families of chemical graphs which are sufficient to characterize the extremal graphs for a large majority of degree-based topological indices. Tools used in our proofs are given in Section 4, and a characterization of extremal chemical graphs for the 33 topological indices is given in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

As mentioned in the previous section, we are interested in connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3. To avoid border effects, we will not consider small or dense graphs which have only few possible x_{ij} values. This is now explained in detail.

There are only 10 connected graphs of order n with $1 \le n \le 4$, Six of them, namely K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4 , P_3 and the diamond (K_4 minus an edge), are the only ones having their order and size. They therefore maximize and minimize any topological index of their order and size. The two pairs (n, m) with $n \le 4$ that have different chemical graphs of order n and size m are P_4 and the star with 3 branches for (n, m) = (4, 3) and C_4 and a triangle plus a pending vertex for (n, m) = (4, 4). By restricting ourselves to connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3, it is not difficult to show that there are 10 such graphs of order n = 5 and 29 ones of order n = 6. These can be obtained using *PHOEG* [18], *House of Graphs* [6] or *Nauty's geng* [36]. Hence, given any topological index, it is easy to determine which chemical graph of order $n \le 6$ has maximum or minimum value. From now on, we will therefore only consider connected graphs G of order at least 7, which implies $x_{11} = 0$ and $2 \le \Delta(G) \le 3$. **Definition 1.** A *degree-based topological index* is any function f of the form

 $f(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33}) = c_{12}x_{12} + c_{13}x_{13} + c_{22}x_{22} + c_{23}x_{23} + c_{33}x_{33},$

where every c_{ij} is a real number.

By abuse of notation, for a graph G, we will write f(G) instead of $f(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$, where x_{ij} is the number of (i, j)-edges in G. For example, the Randić index (see Section 1) is the degree-based topological index with $c_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{ij}}$.

Let's focus now on dense graphs. Since we restrict ourselves to graphs G of maximum degree at most 3, the size m of such graphs is at most $\frac{3n}{2}$: if $m = \frac{3n}{2}$, then $x_{33} = m$ (G is 3-regular); if $m = \frac{3n-1}{2}$, then $x_{23} = 2$ and $x_{33} = m - 2$; if $m = \frac{3n-2}{2}$, then there are three possible cases:

- $x_{13} = 1$ and $x_{33} = m 1$;
- $x_{23} = 4$ and $x_{33} = m 4$;
- $x_{22} = 1$, $x_{23} = 2$ and $x_{33} = m 3$.

Hence, given a pair (n, m) with $m \ge \frac{3n-2}{2}$, and given any degree-based topological index f, it is not difficult to determine the x_{ij} values of the connected graphs of order n, size m and maximum degree at most 3 which maximize or minimize f.

From now on, when we talk about chemical graphs, we assume that we are not in the above extreme cases (i.e., very small or very dense graphs). More precisely, here is the definition of the chemical graphs studied in this paper.

Definition 2. A chemical graph is a connected graph of order $n \ge 7$, size $m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$ and maximum degree at most 3.

It is important to specify here that although the results that we demonstrate are valid for chemical graphs as defined above, it is possible that these results are also valid for some connected graphs of maximum degree at most 3 and of order n < 7 or size $m > \frac{3n-3}{2}$.

We found in the literature 33 degree-based topological indices. They are described in Table 1. Most of them, namely 28, appear in [25], the exceptions being ABSC which appears in [5], AG-GA, which appears in [42] and lnZagreb1, lnZagreb2 and lnZagreb3 which can be found in [39]. We are interested in the extremal properties of these indices. More precisely, given a topological index f, we aim to characterize the chemical graphs that maximize f and those that minimize f. For the 33 indices of Table 1, this gives potentially 66 families of chemical graphs. As will be shown, 5 families (instead of 58) are sufficient to characterize the extremal chemical graphs of 29 of the 33 topological indices.

Name	Short name	c_{ij}
Atom-bond connectivity index	ABC	$\sqrt{\frac{i+j-2}{ij}}$
Atom-bond sum-connectivity index	ABSC	$\sqrt{\frac{i+j-2}{i+j}}$
Albertson index	Albertson	$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j} \end{vmatrix}$
Arithmetic-geometric index	AG	$\frac{i+j}{2\sqrt{ji}}$
Difference between AG and GA	AG-GA	$\frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{2\sqrt{ij}} - \frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{i+j}$
Extended index	Extended	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i}{i}+\frac{j}{i}\right)$
Forgotten index	Forgotten	$i^2 + j^2$
Geometric-arithmetic index	GA	$\frac{2\sqrt{ij}}{i+i}$
First Gourava index	Gourava1	i+j i+j+ij
Second Gourava index	Gourava2	(i+j)ij
First hyper-Gourava index	hGourava1	$(i+j+ij)^2$
Second hyper-Gourava index	hGourava2	$((i+j)ij)^2$
Gourava sum-connectivity index	GouravaSC	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{i+j+ij}}$
Gourava product-connectivity index	GouravaPC	$\sqrt{ij(i+j)}$
Harmonic index	Harmonic	$\frac{2}{i+j}$
Inverse degree index	InvDeg	$i^{-2} + j^{-2}$
Inverse sum of degree index	InvSumDeg	$\frac{ij}{i+i}$
Randić index	Randić	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{ij}}$
Reciprocal Randić index	rRandić	$\sqrt[n]{ij}$
Sigma index	Sigma	$(i - j)^2$
Sombor index	Sombor	$\sqrt{i^2 + j^2}$
Reduced Sombor index	rSombor	$\sqrt{(i-1)^2 + (j-1)^2}$
Sum connectivity index	SumConn	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{i+j}}$
Reciprocal sum connectivity index	rSumConn	$\sqrt{i+j}$
First Zagreb index	Zagreb1	i+j
Second Zagreb index	Zagreb2	ij
Augmented Zagreb index	aZagreb	$\left(\frac{ij}{i+j-2}\right)^{3}$
First hyper-Zagreb index	hZagreb1	$(i+j)^2$
Second hyper-Zagreb index	hZagreb2	$(ij)^2$
Nat. log. of the mult. sum Zagreb index	InZagreb1	$\ln(i+j)$
Nat. log. of the first mult. Zagreb index	InZagreb2	$2\left(\frac{m(j)}{i} + \frac{m(j)}{j}\right)$
Nat. log. of the second mult. Zagreb index	InZagreb3	$\ln(i) + \ln(j)$
Modified first Zagreb index	mZagreb	i + j = 0

Table 1: 33 Degree-based topological indices.

Definition 3. Given a degree-based topological index f defined by c_{ij} values, its complement denoted \overline{f} is the degree-based topological index defined by $-c_{ij}$ values.

Determining chemical graphs with the *minimum* value for f is thus equivalent to determining chemical graphs with the *maximum* value for \overline{f} . In the subsequent proofs, we always aim to maximize the value of a topological index in Table 1 or its complement.

Definition 4. A chemical graph G is *extremal* for a degree-based topological index f if it maximizes f or \overline{f} over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G.

3 Five families of chemical graphs

A chemical graph is characterized by five x_{ij} values, namely, $x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}$ and x_{33} . We therefore have:

$$n_1 = x_{12} + x_{13} \tag{1}$$

$$n_2 = \frac{x_{12} + 2x_{22} + x_{23}}{2} \tag{2}$$

$$n_3 = \frac{x_{13} + x_{23} + 2x_{33}}{3} \tag{3}$$

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 = \frac{3}{2}x_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x_{13} + x_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x_{33}$$
(4)

$$m = x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33}.$$
(5)

We now define five families F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 and F_5 of chemical graphs. As will be shown, these are sufficient to characterize the extremal chemical graphs of 29 topological indices. Fo illustration, examples of chemical graphs belonging to these families are given in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Examples of chemical graphs or order $n \in \{7, 8\}$ and size $m \le n+2$ belonging to at least one of the families F_1, \ldots, F_5 .

Definition 5. F_1 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
0 0	$\frac{\frac{3n-2m}{2}}{\frac{3n-2m-1}{2}}$	0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if n if even if n if odd

Definition 6. F_2 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
2	0	m-2	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	m	0	0	if $m = n$
0	0	m-5	4	1	if $m = n + 1$
0	0	3n - 2m - 1	2	3m - 3n - 1	if $n + 1 < m \le \frac{3n - 3}{2}$

Definition 7. F_3 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
0	$\frac{3n-2m}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if n if even
1	$\frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$	0	1	$\frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$	if n if odd

Definition 8. F_4 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
2	0	m-2	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	6n - 5m	6m - 6n	0	if $n \leq m < \frac{6n}{5}$
0	0	0	6n - 4m	5m-6n	$\text{if } \frac{6n}{5} \le m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
2	0	m-2	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
0	0	m	0	0	if $m = n$
0	0	m-6	6	0	if $m = n + 1$
0	0	m-5	4	1	11 m = n + 1
0	0	a	6n-4m-2a	5m - 6n + a	if $n+1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$

Definition 9. F_5 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

where a is any integer such that $\max\{0, 6n-5m\} \le a \le 3n-2m-1$ when $n+1 < m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$.

It is not difficult to show that for every x_{ij} values of the five families defined above, there is at least one chemical graph having x_{ii} (i, j)-edges. This can be proved in several ways. The first approach is to use the necessary and sufficient conditions provided in Hansen et al. [28] for the existence of a simple connected graph with given x_{ij} values. These conditions for chemical graphs can be written as follows:

$$x_{33} \le \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$$
 if $n_3 = 1, 2 \text{ or } 3$, (6)

$$x_{22} \le \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}$$
 if $n_2 = 1$ or 2, (7)

$$x_{23} \le n_2 n_3$$
 if $n_2 = 1$ or 2 and $n_3 = 1$, (8)

$$x_{23} \ge \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) - 1,$$

$$+ x_{22} \ge n_2 + \delta(n_2) - 1$$
(10)

$$x_{23} + x_{33} \ge n_3 + \delta(n_2) - 1, \tag{10}$$

$$x_{22} + x_{23} \ge n_2 + \delta(n_3) - 1, \tag{11}$$

$$x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33} \ge n_2 + n_3 - 1.$$
⁽¹²⁾

where

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \ge 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Condition (12) is equivalent to $m - x_{12} - x_{13} \ge n - x_{12} - x_{13} - 1$, which is equivalent to $m \ge n - 1$. In summary, given a pair (n,m) of integers such that $n \ge 7$ and $n-1 \le m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$, and given x_{ij} values that satisfy conditions (1)-(5), we can state that there is a chemical graph of order n and size m with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges if and only if conditions (6)–(11) are satisfied. This is now illustrated with family F_1 .

Given x_{ij} values as in Definition 5, Equations (1), (2) and (3) give

$$\begin{cases} n_1 = \frac{3n - 2m - (n \mod 2)}{2}, \\ n_2 = n \mod 2, \\ n_3 = \frac{2m - n - (n \mod 2)}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ and $m = x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33}$, which means that conditions (1)–(5) are satisfied. Let's now prove that Constraints (6)–(11) are also satisfied. Note first that $n \ge 7$ implies $m \ge n - 1 \ge 6$. Since $3n_3 = m + x_{33}$, we have $n_3 \ge 2$.

- If $n_3 = 2$ then $6 = m + x_{33} \ge 6 + x_{33}$ implies $x_{33} < 1 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$; if $n_3 = 3$, then $9 = m + x_{33} \ge 6 + x_{33}$ implies $x_{33} \le 3 = \frac{n_3(n_3-1)}{2}$. Hence, Constraint (6) is satisfied.
- Since $x_{22} = 0 \leq \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}$ for $n_2 = 1$ and 2, Constraint (7) is satisfied.
- As mentioned above, $n_3 \ge 2$ which implies that there is no Constraint (8).
- If n is even, then $x_{23} = n_2 = \delta(n_2) = 0$. Therefore,
 - $-x_{23} = 0 > \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) 1;$
 - Since $m \ge n-1$, we have $2x_{33} = 4m 3n \ge m-3$. Hence, $m 3 + x_{33} \le 3x_{33}$ which implies $x_{23} + x_{33} = x_{33} \ge \frac{m + x_{33} - 3}{3} = n_3 - 1 = n_3 + \delta(n_2) - 1;$

 $-x_{22} + x_{23} \ge n_2 + \delta(n_3) - 1.$

Hence, Constraints (9)-(11) are satisfied.

- If n is odd, then, $x_{23} = 2$ and $n_2 = \delta(n_2) = 1$. Therefore,
 - $-x_{23} = 2 > \delta(n_2) + \delta(n_3) 1.$
 - Since $m \ge n-1$, we have $2x_{33} = 4m 3n 3 \ge m 6$. Hence, $m 6 + x_{33} \le 3x_{33}$ which implies $x_{23} + x_{33} = 2 + x_{33} \ge \frac{m + x_{33}}{3} = n_3 = n_3 + \delta(n_2) 1$.
 - $-x_{22} + x_{23} = 2 > n_2 + \delta(n_3) 1.$

Hence, Constraints (9)-(11) are satisfied.

Another way of proving that a chemical graph exists for given x_{ij} values is to give an explicit construction for such a graph. For family F_1 , for an even order $n \ge 7$ and for $m \ge n$, one can for example consider the following construction (a similar one can be given for odd values of n and for m = n - 1):

- 1. Construct a cycle on vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$, with edges $v_i v_{i+1}$ $(1 \le i \le m \frac{n}{2} 1)$ and $v_1 v_{m-\frac{n}{2}}$.
- 2. Add a matching with the m-n edges $v_i v_{\lceil \frac{2m-n}{4} \rceil+i}$ $(1 < i \le m-n)$. Let W be the set of endpoints of these edges.
- 3. For each $v_i \notin W$, add a pending vertex w_i adjacent to v_i .

The resulting graph belongs to F_1 . Indeed, every v_i has degree 3 and every w_i has degree 1. We thus have $x_{12} = x_{22} = x_{23} = 0$. Moreover, $x_{13} = m - \frac{n}{2} - W = \frac{3n-2m}{2}$ and $x_{33} = m - \frac{n}{2} + |W| = \frac{4m-3n}{2}$.

In summary, it is tedious but easy to check that given x_{ij} values of one of the five graph families defined above, there is at least one chemical graph with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Therefore, from now on, we assume that this is true for the five families F_1, \ldots, F_5 .

4 Tools used to characterize extremal chemical graphs

Given a set of x_{ij} values, we consider transformations which generate x'_{ij} values having specific properties.

Definition 10. Let $A = (a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{33})$ be a vector with integer coefficients.

- Given any integer k, the (A, k)-transform of a vector $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ is the vector $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ such that $x'_{ij} = x_{ij} + ka_{ij}$.
- We say that A is (n, m)-preserving if it satisfies the two following equations:

$$\frac{3}{2}a_{12} + \frac{4}{3}a_{13} + a_{22} + \frac{5}{6}a_{23} + \frac{2}{3}a_{33} = 0;$$
(13)

$$a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{22} + a_{23} + a_{33} = 0. (14)$$

The idea behind these definitions is that if $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ is the (A, k)-transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$ and if A is (n, m)-preserving, then

$$\frac{3}{2}x_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x_{13} + x_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x_{33} = \frac{3}{2}x'_{12} + \frac{4}{3}x'_{13} + x'_{22} + \frac{5}{6}x'_{23} + \frac{2}{3}x'_{33}$$
 and
$$x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{33} = x'_{12} + x'_{13} + x'_{22} + x'_{23} + x'_{33}.$$

Hence, the values of n and m derived from Equations (4) and (5) are the same, whether calculated using x_{ij} or x'_{ij} values.

Let G be a chemical graph of order n and size m that maximizes the value of a topological index f over all chemical graphs of same order and same size as G. We now study the impact on the x_{ij} values of G if some of the four following values are strictly positive:

$$V_{1} = c_{13} - c_{22} + \min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + \min_{j=2,3} (c_{3j} - c_{2j}),$$

$$V_{2} = c_{13} - c_{12} + \min_{i=2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}),$$

$$V_{3} = c_{22} - c_{13} + \min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + \min_{j=2,3} (c_{2j} - c_{3j}),$$

$$V_{4} = 2c_{22} - c_{12} - c_{23} + 2\min_{i=1,2,3} (c_{2i} - c_{3i}).$$

Lemma 1. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1 > 0$. A chemical graph G that maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G has no (2,2)-edge.

Proof. Assume G contains an edge uv with both endpoints of degree 2.

• If u and v have no common neighbor, then let x be the second neighbor of u and let y be the second neighbor of v. At least one of x, y, say y, has degree at least two, else G has order 4. We can then obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing ux by vx. Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the degree of x and $j \in \{2, 3\}$ the degree of y. The graph G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + (c_{3j} - c_{2j}) \ge f(G) + V_1 > f(G).$$

- If u and v have a common neighbor w, then w has degree 3, else G has order n = 3. Also, the third neighbor x of w has degree at least two, else G has order n = 4.
 - If x has degree 2, then let y be its second neighbor and let $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the degree of y. We can obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing uv by vx. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + (c_{3i} - c_{2i}) + (c_{33} - c_{23})$$

$$\geq f(G) + V_1 > f(G).$$

- If x has degree 3, then let y and z be the two other neighbors of x. We can obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing xy and xz by uy and vz. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{22} + 2(c_{33} - c_{23}) \ge f(G) + V_1 > f(G).$$

Lemma 2. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1 > 0$ and $V_2 > 0$. A chemical graph G that maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G has no (1,2)-edge and no (2,2)-edge.

Proof. We already know from Lemma 1 that G has no (2,2)-edge. Let uv be an edge in G with u of degree 1 and v of degree 2. Let w be the second neighbor of v. Note that w has degree 3, else G has order n = 3. Let x and y be the two other neighbors of w. At least one of them, say x has degree $i \ge 2$, else G has order n = 5. We can obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing uv and wx by uw and vx. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{13} - c_{12} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) \ge f(G) + V_2 > f(G).$$

Lemma 3. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3 > 0$. A chemical graph G that maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G has no (1,3)-edge.

Proof. Let uv be an edge with u of degree 1 and v of degree 3, and let x and y be the two other neighbors of v. At least one of x and y, say y has degree at least two, else G has order n = 4. We can then obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing vy by uy. Let i be the degree of x and j the degree of y. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{22} - c_{13} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + (c_{2j} - c_{3j}) \ge f(G) + V_3 > f(G).$$

G-2025-05

Lemma 4. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_4 > 0$. If a chemical graph G maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G then either m = n - 1 and G is P_n or $m \ge n$ and G has no (1,2)-edge.

Proof. Let uv be an edge with u of degree 1 and v of degree 2. If G is not P_n , then there is a vertex w of degree 3 in G such that v and w are linked by a chain in which all internal vertices have degree 2 in G. Let x and y be the two neighbors of w that are not on the chain. We can obtain a chemical graph G' by replacing wx by ux. Let i be the degree of x and j the degree of y. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{22} - c_{12} - c_{23} + (c_{2i} - c_{3i}) + (c_{2j} - c_{3j}) \ge f(G) + V_4 > f(G).$$

5 Characterization of extremal graphs

We first characterize the extremal graphs of 29 of the 33 degree-based topological indices of Table 1. The proofs involve the following values:

$$V_5 = c_{13} - 4c_{23} + 3c_{33},$$

$$V_6 = c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33},$$

$$V_7 = c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33},$$

$$V_8 = -2c_{12} + 3c_{13} - 2c_{23} + c_{33}.$$

5.1 Five graph families for 29 topological indices

We first show that the chemical graphs in F_1 maximize all degree-based topological indices such that V_1, V_2 and V_5 are strictly positive.

Theorem 1. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1 > 0$, $V_2 > 0$ and $V_5 > 0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_1$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown in Lemma 2, $V_1 > 0$ and $V_2 > 0$ imply $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$. Hence, $2n_2 = x_{23}$, which means that x_{23} is even.

If $x_{23} \leq 2$ then $n_2 = n \mod 2$ (since the number $n_1 + n_3$ of odd degree vertices is even), which implies $x_{23} = 2(n \mod 2)$. Equations (4) and (5) then give $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-(n \mod 2)}{2}$ and $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3(n \mod 2)}{2}$, which means that G belongs to F_1 .

If $x_{23} \ge 4$, then consider the vector A = (0, 1, 0, -4, 3) associated with V_5 and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \lfloor \frac{x_{23}}{4} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. We then have $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$ and $x'_{23} \le 2$. Since A is (n, m)-preserving, we conclude as above that $x'_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-(n \mod 2)}{2}$ and $x'_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3(n \mod 2)}{2}$. Let G' be a graph in F_1 having exactly x'_{ij} (i, j)-edges. The maximality of G is contradicted by G' since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + V_5 \lfloor \frac{x_{23}}{4} \rfloor > f(G).$$

Theorem 2. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3 > 0$, $V_4 > 0$ and $V_6 > 0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all chemical graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_2$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4, $V_3 > 0$ and $V_4 > 0$ imply $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ or $n_1 = 0$. Hence, if m = n - 1, then $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ (since trees have vertices of degree 1), which means that $x_{12} = 2$, $x_{22} = m - 2$ and $G \in F_2$. So assume $n \le m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$, which implies that $n_1 = 0$ and x_{23} is even.

- If m = n, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} = x_{33} = 0$, which implies $x_{22} = m$ and $G \in F_2$.
- If m = n + 1, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} + 2x_{33} = 6$, which implies $n_3 = 2$ and $x_{33} \le 1$. Hence, there are only two possibilities:
 - $-x_{22} = m 6, x_{23} = 6, x_{33} = 0,$ or
 - $-x_{22} = m 5, x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1.$

Since $c_{22}-2c_{23}+c_{33}=V_6 > 0$, the second solution has a larger value, which implies $G \in F_2$.

• If $n + 1 < m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$ and $x_{23} = 2$, then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$ and $x_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$, which implies $G \in F_2$. So assume $x_{23} \ge 4$, consider the vector A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1) associated with V_6 and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \frac{x_{23}-2}{2})$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. Hence, $x'_{12} = x'_{13} = 0$ and $x'_{23} = 2$. Since A is (n, m)-preserving, we conclude as above that $x'_{22} = 3n - 2m - 1$ and $x'_{33} = 3m - 3n - 1$. Consider any chemical graph G' in F_2 having exactly x'_{ij} (i, j)-edges. The maximality of G is contradicted by G' since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + V_6(\frac{x_{23} - 2}{2}) > f(G).$$

Corollary 1. $F_1 \cup F_2$ is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the 13 degree-based topological indices ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić, Sombor, rSombor, SumConn, rSumConn and lnZagreb1.

Proof. It is easy to check that

- V_1, V_2 and V_5 are strictly positive for ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, rSumConn, Sombor, rSombor, lnZagreb1, \overline{GA} , $\overline{GouravaSC}$, $\overline{Harmonic}$, $\overline{Randić}$ and $\overline{SumConn}$, which implies that F_1 is the set of chemical graphs which maximize ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, rSumConn, Sombor, rSombor, lnZagreb1 and minimize GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn.
- V_3, V_4 and V_6 are strictly positive for \overline{ABSC} , \overline{AG} , $\overline{AG-GA}$, $\overline{Extended}$, \overline{Sombor} , $\overline{rSombor}$, $\overline{rSombor}$, $\overline{rSombor}$, $\overline{rSombor}$, $\overline{rSombor}$, $\overline{InZagreb1}$, GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn, which implies that F_2 is the set of chemical graphs which minimize ABSC, AG, AG-GA, Extended, Sombor, rSombor, rSumConn, $\ln Zagreb1$ and maximize GA, GouravaSC, Harmonic, Randić and SumConn.

We now characterize the degree-based topological indices f for which the chemical graphs in F_3 and F_4 maximize f.

Theorem 3. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1>0, V_6>0, V_7>0$ and $V_8>0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_3$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown by Lemma 1, $V_1 > 0$ implies $x_{22} = 0$. Let W_{33} be the set of vertices of degree 2 in G with two neighbors of degree 3, and let W_{13} be the set of vertices of degree 2 in G with one neighbor of degree 1 and the other of degree 3. Since n > 3, we have $n_2 = |W_{33}| + |W_{13}|$.

- If $n_2 = 1$, then let v be the vertex of degree 2 in G.
 - if $v \in W_{13}$ then $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1, x_{22} = 0$ and Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$ and $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$;

G-2025-05

- if $v \in W_{33}$ then $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0, x_{23} = 2$ and Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-1}{2}$ and $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-3}{2}$.

Since $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33} = V_7 > 0$, the first case has a larger value f(G), which implies that G belongs to F_3 .

• If $n_2 > 1$, then the two neighbors of each vertex in W_{33} are adjacent. Indeed, if a vertex $v \in W_{33}$ has two non-adjacent neighbors u_1 and u_2 , then consider any other vertex w of degree 2 and let u_3 be one of its neighbors: by replacing vu_1, vu_2, wu_3 by vw, vu_3 and u_1u_2 , we get a chemical graph G' which contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(G) + c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + V_6 > f(G).$$

Let us now show that $|W_{33}| \leq 1$. Assume by contradiction that W_{33} contains at least two vertices v_1 and v_2 . Since n > 4, there are two non-adjacent vertices u_1 and u_2 such that u_1 is adjacent to v_1 but not to v_2 , while u_2 is adjacent to v_2 but not to v_1 . Let w_1 be the second neighbor of v_1 , and let G' be the chemical graph obtained from G by replacing v_1w_1 and v_2u_2 by v_1u_2 and v_2w_1 . Then G' has $n_2 > 1$ vertices of degree 2 and one of them, namely v_1 , has two non-adjacent neighbors u_1, u_2 of degree 3. We have shown above that this implies that G' does not maximize f while f(G') = f(G), a contradiction.

Hence, $|W_{33}| \leq 1$, which implies $|W_{13}| \geq 1$. So let v be a vertex in W_{13} , let u be another vertex of degree 2, let w be the neighbor of v of degree 1, and let G' be the chemical graph obtained from G by replacing vw by uw:

- if
$$u \in W_{13}$$
 then $f(G') = f(G) - 2c_{12} + 3c_{13} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + V_8 > f(G);$

$$- \text{ if } u \in W_{33} \text{ then } f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 3c_{23} + 2c_{33} = f(G) + V_7 + V_8 > f(G).$$

In both cases, G' contradicts the maximality of G.

Theorem 4. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3>0$, $V_4>0$ and $V_6<0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_4$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4, $V_3 > 0$ and $V_4 > 0$ imply $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ or $n_1 = 0$. Hence, if m = n - 1, then $G = \mathsf{P}_n$, which means that $x_{12} = 2$, $x_{22} = m - 2$ and $G \in F_4$. So assume m > n - 1, which implies $n_1 = 0$. Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 2x_{22}$ and $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + x_{22}$. Hence, $x_{22} \ge \max\{0, 6n - 5m\}$.

- If $x_{22} = 0$, then $x_{23} = 6n 4m$, $x_{33} = 5m 6n$ and $G \in F_4$.
- If $x_{22} = 6n 5m > 0$, then $x_{23} = 6m 6n$, $x_{33} = 0$ and $G \in F_4$.
- If $x_{22} > 0$ and $x_{22} \neq 6n 5m$, then $x_{33} > 0$. Consider the vector A = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1) associated with $-V_6$ and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \min\{x_{22}, x_{22} 6n + 5m\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. Hence, $x'_{22} = \max\{0, 6n 5m\}$ and $x'_{12} = x'_{13} = 0$. Since A is (n, m)-preserving we conclude as above that there is a chemical graph G' in F_4 having exactly x'_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Then G' contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') = f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$$

= $f(G) - V_6 \min\{x_{22}, x_{22} - 6n + 5m\} > f(G).$

Proof. It is easy to check that

- $V_1>0$, $V_6>0$, $V_7>0$ and $V_8>0$ for Gourava1, Gourava2, hGourava1, hGourava2, GouravaPC, InvSumDeg, rRandić, Zagreb2, hZagreb1, hZagreb2, which implies that F_3 is the set of chemical graphs which maximize these topological indices.
- $V_3 > 0, V_4 > 0$ and $V_6 < 0$ for Gourava1, Gourava1, Gourava2, hGourava1, hGourava2, GouravaPC, TRandić, Zagreb2, hZagreb1, hZagreb2, which implies that F_4 is the set of chemical graphs which minimize the 10 topological indices.

Note that $F_1 \cup F_3$ is the set of chemical graphs with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges such that

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
0	$\frac{3n-2m}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{4m-3n}{2}$	if n if even
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\frac{3n-2m-3}{3n-2m-1}$	0 0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$	if n is odd

Theorem 5. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1>0$, $V_5>0$ and $V_7=0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_1 \cup F_3$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. Note that the two possibilities for the x_{ij} values when n is odd give the same value f(G) since $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{23} + c_{33} = V_7 = 0$. As shown by Lemma 1, $V_1 > 0$ implies $x_{22} = 0$. Moreover, n > 3 implies $x_{12} \le x_{23}$, and x_{12} and x_{23} have the same parity.

1. If $x_{12} = 0$ then, as shown in Theorem 1, $G \in F_1$, else there is a graph $G' \in F_1$ so that f(G') > f(G).

2. If $x_{12} = 1$ then

- if $x_{23} = 1$ then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m-3}{2}$ and $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n-1}{2}$, which implies that G belongs to F_3 .
- if $x_{23} \geq 3$, then consider the vector A = (-1, 2, 0, -3, 2) associated with $V_5 V_7$ and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the (A, 1)-transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. Note that $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$ and A is (n, m)-preserving. Hence, we have shown in case 1. that there is a graph $G' \in F_1$ which contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') \ge f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$$

= $f(G) + V_5 - V_7 = f(G) + V_5 > f(G).$

3. If $x_{12} \ge 2$ then $x_{23} \ge x_{12} \ge 2$. Consider the vector A = (-2, 3, 0, -2, 1) associated with $V_5 - 2V_7$ and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. Since $x'_{12} = x'_{22} = 0$ and A is (n, m)-preserving, we have shown in case 1. that there is a graph $G' \in F_1$ which contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') \ge f(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33}) = f(G) + \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor (V_5 - 2V_7)$$

= $f(G) + \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor V_5 > f(G).$

Theorem 6. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3>0$, $V_4>0$ and $V_6=0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_5$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. Note first that the two possibilities for the x_{ij} values when m = n + 1 have the same value f(G) since $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 = 0$. For the same reason, all solutions for $n + 1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$ have the same value.

G-2025-05

As shown by Lemmas 3 and 4, $V_3 > 0$ and $V_4 > 0$ imply $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ or $n_1 = 0$. Hence, if m = n - 1, then $G = \mathsf{P}_n$, which means that $x_{12} = 2$, $x_{22} = m - 2$ and $G \in F_5$. So assume $n \le m < \frac{3n-3}{2}$, which implies that $n_1 = 0$ and x_{23} is even.

- If m = n, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} = x_{33} = 0$ and $x_{22} = m$, which implies $G \in F_5$.
- If m = n + 1, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} + 2x_{33} = 6$, which implies $n_3 = 2$ and $x_{33} \le 1$. Hence, there are only two possibilities:
 - $-x_{22} = m 6, x_{23} = 6, x_{33} = 0,$ or
 - $-x_{22} = m 5, x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1,$

and both imply $G \in F_5$.

• If $n+1 < m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$, then $x_{23} \ge 2$ and Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{22} = a$, $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 2a$ and $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + a$. Since $x_{33} \ge 0$ and $x_{23} \ge 2$, we have $\max\{0, 6n - 5m\} \le a \le 3n - 2m - 1$, which implies $G \in F_5$.

Corollary 3. $F_1 \cup F_3 \cup F_5$ is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological indices Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, InZagreb2, InZagreb3 and mZagreb.

Proof. It is easy to check that

- $V_1>0$, $V_5>0$ and $V_7=0$ for Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, InZagreb2, InZagreb3 and mZagreb which implies that $F_1 \cup F_3$ is the set of chemical graphs which maximize Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1 and mZagreb and minimize InZagreb2.
- $V_3>0, V_4>0$ and $V_6=0$ for Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, InZagreb2, InZagreb3 and mZagreb, which implies that F_5 is the set of chemical graphs which minimize Forgotten, InvDeg, Zagreb1, mZagreb and maximize InZagreb2.

5.2 Additional families of extremal chemical graphs

As proved in the previous section, the five families F_1, \ldots, F_5 are sufficient to characterize all extremal graphs of 29 topological indices. However, some degree-based topological indices have extremal chemical graphs that do not belong to any of the five families. We give here four examples. More precisely, we characterize the extremal chemical graphs of the topological indices ABC, Albertson, Sigma, and aZagreb. For this purpose, we define new families F_6, \ldots, F_{11} of chemical graphs characterized by x_{ij} values. Here again, as explained in Section 3, it is easy to check that given x_{ij} values of one of the graph families, there is at least one chemical graph with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Examples of chemical graphs belonging to at least one of the families F_6, \ldots, F_{11} , but to none of the families F_1, \ldots, F_5 are given in Figure 2.

Definition 11. F_6 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

_	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}				
	0	a	0	6n - 4m - 4a	5m - 6n + 3a				

where a is any integer such that $\max\{0, \lceil \frac{6n-5m}{3} \rceil\} \le a \le \lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem 7. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1>0$, $V_2>0$ and $V_5=0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_6$.



Figure 2: Examples of chemical graphs or order $n \in \{7, 8\}$ and size $m \le n+2$ belonging to at least one of the families F_6, \ldots, F_{11} but to none of the families F_1, \ldots, F_5 .

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown in Lemma 2, $V_1 > 0$ and $V_2 > 0$ imply $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$. Hence, it follows from Equations (4) and (5) that $x_{13} = a$, $x_{23} = 6n - 4m - 4a$ and $x_{33} = 5m - 6n + 3a$. Since $x_{23} \ge 0$ and $x_{33} \ge 0$, we have $\max\{0, \lceil \frac{6n-5m}{3} \rceil\} \le a \le \lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{2} \rfloor$. All possible solutions with the various values of a have the same value since $c_{13} - 4c_{23} + 3c_{33} = V_5 = 0$. \Box

Definition 12.	F_7	is	the	set	of	chemical	graphs	with	the	fol	lowing	numl	\mathbf{bers}	x_{ij}	of	(i,	j)-ed	lges	3:
----------------	-------	----	-----	----------------------	----	----------	--------	------	-----	-----	--------	------	-----------------	----------	----	-----	---	------	------	----

	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
	$2 \\ 0$	0 0	$m-2 \atop m$	0 0	0 0	$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } m=n-1\\ \text{if } m=n \end{array}$
$(n \ge 8)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$	0 0 0	m-5 m-7 m-9	$4 \\ 3 \\ 2$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ 3\\ 5\end{array}$	if $m = n + 1$
	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	0 0	3n-2m-1 3n-2m-3	21	3m - 3n - 1 3m - 3n + 1	if $n+1 {<} m {\leq} \frac{3n-3}{2}$

Theorem 8. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3>0$, $V_6>0$ and $V_5+V_7=2V_6$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_7$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. Note that the three possible cases for m = n + 1 have the same value since $c_{12} - 2c_{22} - c_{23} + 2c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - 2V_6 = 0$. For the same reason, the two possibilities for $n + 1 < m < \frac{3n-3}{3}$ have the same value.

As shown by Lemma 3, $V_3 > 0$ implies $x_{13} = 0$. In what follows, for two integer a and b such that $(a, b) \neq (x_{12}, x_{23})$, we say that G is (a, b)-dominated if $a \leq x_{12}$, $b-a \leq x_{23} - x_{12}$, and there is a chemical graph of order n and size m which has a (1, 2)-edges, b (2, 3)-edges, and no (1, 3)-edge. In such a case consider the (n, m)-preserving vector A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1) associated with V_6 and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}+a-b}{2})$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. We thus have $x_{12} = x'_{12}$ and $x'_{23} = x_{12} + b - a$. Let A' = (-1, 0, 3, -1, -1) be the (n, m)-preserving vector associated with $3V_6 - V_5 - V_7$ and let $(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$ be the $(A', x_{12} - a)$ -transform of $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$. We now have $x''_{12} = a$ and $x''_{23} = b$. Let G' be a graph with x''_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Note that if $x_{12} = a$, then

 $x_{23} - x_{12} + a - b > 0$. Hence, G' contradicts the maximality of G since $3V_6 - V_5 - V_7 = V_6 > 0$ and

$$f(G') = f(x_{12}'', x_{13}'', x_{22}'', x_{23}'', x_{33}'') = f(x_{12}', x_{13}', x_{22}', x_{23}', x_{33}') + (x_{12} - a)V_6$$

= $f(G) + (\frac{x_{23} - x_{12} + a - b}{2})V_6 + (x_{12} - a)V_6 > f(G).$

Let us now analyze the possible values for m and use Equations (4) and (5) to derive x_{ij} values.

- If m = n 1, then assume $x_{23} > 0$. It follows that $3 \le x_{12} \le x_{23}$. Hence, $x_{12} = x_{23} = 3$, else *G* is (3,3)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = m - 6$ and $x_{33} = 0$ and $f(\mathsf{P}_n) - f(G) = -c_{12} + 4c_{22} - 3c_{23} = 4V_6 - V_5 - V_7 = 2V_6 > 0$, which contradicts the maximality of *G*. Hence $x_{23} = 0$ which implies $G = \mathsf{P}_n \in F_7$.
- if m = n, then $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$, else G is (0, 0)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = m$ and $x_{33} = 0$ which implies $G = C_n \in F_7$.
- If m = n + 1, then
 - if $x_{12} = 0$, then $x_{23} \ge 4$. Hence, $x_{23} = 4$ else G is (0, 4)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = m 5$ and $x_{33} = 1$, meaning that $G \in F_7$.
 - if $x_{12} = 1$, then $x_{23} \ge 3$. Hence, $x_{23} = 3$ else G is (1,3)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = m 7$ and $x_{33} = 3$, meaning that $G \in F_7$.
 - if $x_{12} = 2$, then $x_{23} \ge 2$. Hence, $x_{23} = 2$ else G is (2, 2)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = m 9$ and $x_{33} = 5$, meaning that $G \in F_7$.
 - if $x_{12} > 2$, then $x_{23} > 2$, which means that G is (2, 2)-dominated, which contradicts the maximality of G.
- If $n+1 < m \leq \frac{3n-3}{2}$ then
 - if $x_{12} = 0$, then $x_{23} \ge 2$. Hence, $x_{23} = 2$, else G is (0, 2)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = 3n 2m 1$ and $x_{33} = 3m 3n 1$, meaning that $G \in F_7$.
 - if $x_{12} = 1$, then $x_{23} \ge 1$. Hence, $x_{23} = 1$, else *G* is (1, 1)-dominated. We therefore have $x_{22} = 3n 2m 3$ and $x_{33} = 3m 3n + 1$, meaning that $G \in F_7$.
 - if $x_{12} \ge 2$, then $x_{23} \ge 2$. Hence, G is (1,1)-dominated, which contradicts the maximality of G.

Corollary 4. $F_6 \cup F_7$ is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological index Sigma.

Proof. It is easy to check that $V_1 > 0$, $V_2 > 0$ and $V_5 = 0$ for Sigma, while $V_3 > 0$, $V_6 > 0$ and $V_5 + V_7 = 2V_6$ for Sigma, which means that F_6 is the set of chemical graphs which maximize Sigma, while F_7 is the set of chemical graphs which minimize Sigma.

Definition 13. F_8 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
2	0	m-2	0	0	$if_{m} + 1 - n \in [7, 8, 0]$
3	0	m-6	3	0	$11\ m+1 = n \in \{1, 8, 9\}$
2	0	m-7	4	1	if $m = n \in \{7, 8\}$
1	0	1	3	3	if $n = 7$ and $m = 8$
$\lfloor \frac{3n-2m}{3} \rfloor$	0	$m \bmod 3$	$\lfloor \tfrac{3n-2m}{3} \rfloor$	$\lfloor \frac{7m-6n}{3} \rfloor$	otherwise

Theorem 9. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_3>0$, $V_6>0$ and $V_5+V_7=4V_6$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_8$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown by Lemma 3, $V_3 > 0$ implies $x_{13} = 0$.

If $m + 1 = n \in \{7, 8, 9\}$, then there are only two possibilities: either $x_{12} = 2$ and $x_{22} = m - 2$, or $x_{12} = 3$, $x_{23} = 3$ and $x_{22} = m - 6$. Since $c_{12} - 4c_{22} + 3c_{23} = V_5 + V_7 - 4V_6 = 0$, we deduce that both cases correspond to an optimal graph G that belongs to F_8 . We now assume $m \ge n$ or $m + 1 = n \ge 10$.

If $n_3 = 0$, then $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ and $m + 1 = n \ge 10$ or $G = \mathsf{C}_n$ and n = m.

- If $m + 1 = n \ge 10$, then the graph G' with $x_{12} = x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1$ and $x_{22} = m 9$ has value $f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{12} 7c_{22} + 4c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + 2V_5 + 2V_7 7V_6 = f(G) + V_6 > 0$, which contradicts the maximality of G.
- If n = m, then the graph G' with $x_{12} = 2, x_{23} = 4, x_{33} = 1$ and $x_{22} = m 7$ has value $f(G') = f(G) + 2c_{12} 7c_{22} + 4c_{23} + c_{33} = f(G) + 2V_5 + 2V_7 7V_6 = f(G) + V_6 > 0$, which contradicts the maximality of G.

If $n_3 > 0$, then consider the following 4 cases:

- If m = n = 7, then there are only two possibilities: either $x_{12} = 2$, $x_{23} = 4$ and $x_{33} = 1$, or $x_{12} = 1$, $x_{23} = 3$ and $x_{22} = 3$. Since $c_{12} + c_{23} + c_{33} 3c_{22} = V_5 + V_7 3V_6 = V_6 > 0$, we deduce that the first solution is the best, which means that $G \in F_8$.
- If m = n = 8, then there are only three possibilities: either $x_{12} = 2$, $x_{22} = 1$, $x_{23} = 4$ and $x_{33} = 1$, or $x_{12} = 1$, $x_{23} = 3$ and $x_{22} = 4$, or $x_{12} = 2$ and $x_{23} = 6$. As in the previous case, the first solution is better than the second. Also, since $c_{22} 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 > 0$, we deduce that the first solution is better than the third one, which implies $G \in F_8$.
- If n = 7 and m = 8, there are four possibilities:
 - $-x_{22} = 2$ and $x_{23} = 6;$
 - $-x_{22} = 3, x_{23} = 4 \text{ and } x_{33} = 1;$
 - $-x_{12} = 1, x_{23} = 5 \text{ and } x_{33} = 2;$
 - $-x_{12} = x_{22} = 1, x_{23} = x_{33} = 3.$

The fourth is better than the first since $c_{12} - c_{22} - 3c_{23} + 3c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - V_6 = 3V_6 > 0$. It is better than the second since $c_{12} - 2c_{22} - c_{23} + 2c_{33} = V_5 + V_7 - 2V_6 = 2V_6 > 0$. It is better than the third since $c_{22} - 2c_{23} + c_{33} = V_6 > 0$. Hence, $G \in F_8$.

• For the remaining case where $n \in \{7, 8, 9\}$ and $m \ge 9$, or $n \ge 10$, consider the two (n, m)preserving vectors A = (0, 0, 1, -2, 1) and A' = (1, 0, -3, 1, 1) associated with V_6 and $V_5 + V_7 - 3V_6$,
respectively. Let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the $(A, \frac{x_{23} - x_{12}}{2})$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$.
Note that $x'_{12} = x'_{23}$ and $x'_{13} = 0$. Let $(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$ be the $(A', \lfloor \frac{x'_{22}}{3} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x'_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$.
Note that $x'_{12} = x'_{23}$ and $x''_{13} = 0$. Let $(x''_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$ be the $(A', \lfloor \frac{x'_{22}}{3} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x'_{12}, x''_{13}, x''_{22}, x''_{23}, x''_{33})$.
Note that $x''_{13} = 0$. Interval $x''_{13} = 0$, $x''_{12} = x''_{23}$ and $x''_{22} \le 2$. Equations (4) and (5) then give $x''_{22} = m \mod 3$, $x''_{12} = x''_{23} = \lfloor \frac{3n - 2m}{3} \rfloor$, and $x''_{33} = \lfloor \frac{7m - 6n}{3} \rfloor$. Consider any graph G' in F_8 with x''_{ij} (i, j)-edges. We then have

$$f(G') = f(G) + \frac{x_{23} - x_{12}}{2}V_6 + \lfloor \frac{x'_{22}}{3} \rfloor (V_5 + V_7 - 3V_6)$$

= $f(G) + (\frac{x_{23} - x_{12}}{2} + \lfloor \frac{x'_{22}}{3} \rfloor)V_6.$

If $x_{23} - x_{12} > 0$, or $x_{23} - x_{12} = 0$ and $x_{22} = x'_{22} > 2$, then f(G') > f(G), which contradicts the maximality of G. Hence, we can choose G' equal to G, which implies $G \in F_8$.

Definition 14. F_9 is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
0 0	$\frac{6n-5m+(2m \mod 3)}{3} \\ 0$	0 0	$\frac{8m-6n-4(2m \mod 3)}{3} \\ 6n-4m$	$2m \mod 3$ 5m - 6n	if $n-1 \le m \le \frac{6n+2}{5}$ if $\frac{6n+3}{5} \le m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$

Theorem 10. Let f be a degree-based topological index such that $V_1>0$, $V_2>0$ and $V_5<0$. A chemical graph G maximizes f over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_9$.

Proof. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. As shown by Lemma 2, $V_1 > 0$ and $V_2 > 0$ imply $x_{12} = x_{22} = 0$.

- If $x_{33} \leq 2$, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{33} = (2m \mod 3)$, $x_{13} = \frac{6n 5m + (2m \mod 3)}{3}$ and $x_{23} = \frac{8m 6n 4(2m \mod 3)}{3}$. Since $x_{13} \geq 0$, we have $6n 5m \geq -2$, which implies $G \in F_9$.
- If $x_{33} \ge 3$ then
 - if $x_{13} = 0$, Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{23} = 6n 4m$ and $x_{33} = 5m 6n$. Since $x_{33} \ge 3$, we have $m \ge \frac{6n+3}{5}$, which implies $G \in F_9$.
 - if $x_{13}>0$, consider the (n,m)-preserving vector A=(0,1,0,-4,3) associated with V_5 , let $a = \min\{x_{13}, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}}{3} \rfloor\}$, and let $(x'_{12}, x'_{13}, x'_{22}, x'_{23}, x'_{33})$ be the (A, -a)-transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$. Then either $x'_{33} \leq 2$, or $x'_{33} \geq 3$ and $x_{13} = 0$. In both cases, we have seen that there is a graph $G' \in F_9$ with x'_{ij} (i, j)-edges. We therefore have $f(G') = f(G) aV_5 > f(G)$, which contradicts the maximality of G.

Corollary 5. $F_8 \cup F_9$ is the set of extremal chemical graphs for the topological index ABC.

Proof. It is easy to check that $V_3 > 0$, $V_6 > 0$ and $V_5 + V_7 = 4V_6$ for \overline{ABC} , while $V_1 > 0$, $V_2 > 0$ and $V_5 < 0$ for ABC, which means that F_8 is the set of chemical graphs which minimize ABC, while F_9 is the set of chemical graphs which maximize ABC.

Theorem 11. A chemical graph G maximizes the f=aZagreb topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_8$, except in two cases where the x_{ij} values of G are as follows: if n=7 and m=8 then $x_{12}=x_{13}=x_{23}=1$, $x_{22}=0$ and $x_{33}=5$; if n=8 and m=8 then $x_{12}=x_{23}=2$, $x_{13}=1$, $x_{22}=0$ and $x_{33}=3$.

Proof. The aZagreb topological index is defined by $c_{ij} = (\frac{ij}{i+j-2})^3$ (see Table 1). Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m.

Consider the three (n, m)-preserving vectors A_1, A_2, A_3 associated with the three following strictly positive values W_1, W_2, W_3 :

- $A_1 = (1, -1, 0, -1, 1)$ is associated with $W_1 = c_{12} c_{13} c_{23} + c_{33} \approx 8.01$;
- $A_2 = (1, -1, -1, 1, 0)$ is associated with $W_2 = c_{12} c_{13} c_{22} + c_{23} \approx 4.62$;
- $A_3 = (2, -3, 0, 2, -1)$ is associated with $W_3 = 2c_{12} 3c_{13} + 2c_{23} c_{33} \approx 10.48$.

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{33}^1)$ be the $(A_1, \max\left\{0, \min\{x_{13}, \frac{x_{23}-x_{12}}{2}\}\right\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ be the $(A_2, \min\{x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{13}^2)$;
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$ be the $(A_3, \lfloor \frac{x_{13}^2}{3} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$.

Note that if $x_{13}^2 > 0$, then $x_{22}^2 = 0$ and $x_{12}^2 = x_{23}^2$, which implies $x_{33}^2 > 0$, else G has order $n \le 6$. We then have $x_{13}^3 \le 2$ and if $x_{13}^3 = 0$, then $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$ and $x_{22}^3 = 0$. There are therefore only 3 possible cases for which we can derive the x_{ij}^3 values using Equations (4) and (5):

- (1) if $x_{13}^3 = 0$, then $G \in F_8$. Indeed the proof of Theorem 9 uses the fact that $V_3 > 0$ only to show that $x_{13} = 0$, and it is easy to check that $V_6 > 0$ and $V_5 + V_7 = 4V_6$ for the aZagreb topological index. Therefore,
 - if $x_{13} = 0$, then all x_{ij} values are equal to the x_{ij}^3 values and as in Theorem 9, we conclude that $G \in F_8$;

G-2025-05

- if $x_{13} > 0$, then as in Theorem 9, we know that there exists a graph $G' \in F_8$ of order n and size m which contradicts the maximality of G since

$$f(G') \ge f(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$$

= $f(G) + \max\left\{0, \min\{x_{13}, \frac{x_{23} - x_{12}}{2}\}\right\} W_1$
+ $\min\{x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1\} W_2 + \lfloor \frac{x_{13}^2}{3} \rfloor W_3$
> $f(G).$

- (2) if $x_{13}^3 = 1$, $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$ and $x_{22}^3 = 0$, then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3 = \frac{3n-2m-2}{3}$ and $x_{33}^3 = \frac{7m-6n+1}{3}$, which implies $m \mod 3 = 2$.
- (3) if $x_{13}^3 = 2$, $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3$ and $x_{22}^3 = 0$, then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{12}^3 = x_{23}^3 = \frac{3n-2m-4}{3}$ and $x_{33}^3 = \frac{7m-6n+2}{3}$, which implies $m \mod 3 = 1$.

Let us analyze the situation according to the value of $m \mod 3$:

- if $m \mod 3 = 0$ then $G \in F_8$ (since we are in Case (1));
- if $m \mod 3 = 1$, then
 - if $m \ge 10$ or m + 1 = n = 8, then Case (1) is better than Case (3) since $c_{12} 2c_{13} + c_{22} + c_{23} c_{33} \approx 5.85 > 0$. Hence, $G \in F_8$.
 - if m = n = 7, then Case (1) is better than Case (3) since $c_{12} 2c_{13} + 3c_{23} 2c_{33} \approx 2.46 > 0$. Hence $G \in F_8$.
- if $m \mod 3 = 2$,
 - if $m \ge 11$ or m + 1 = n = 9 then Case (1) is better than Case (2) since $-c_{13} + 2c_{22} c_{33} \approx 1.23 > 0$. Hence $G \in F_8$.
 - if m = 8 and $n \in \{7, 8\}$ then Case (2) is better than Case (1) since $c_{13} c_{22} 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} \approx 2.15 > 0$. Moreover, the x_{ij} values of G are equal to the x_{ij}^3 values else the graph G' with x_{ij}^3 (*i*, *j*)-edges is such that f(G') > f(G). Hence, $x_{12} = x_{13} = x_{23} = 1$ and $x_{33} = 5$ if n = 7 and $x_{12} = x_{23} = 2$, $x_{13} = 1$ and $x_{33} = 3$ if n = 8. □

Definition 15. F_{10} is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
0	$\frac{6n-5m}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n}{3}$	0	if $n-1 \leq m \leq \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \mod 3=0$
0	$\frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$	1	$\frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$	0	if $n-1 \le m \le \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \mod 3=1$
0	$\frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$	0	$\frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$	1	if $n-1 \le m \le \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \mod 3=2$
0	Õ	1	m-1	0	if $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$
0	0	0	6n - 4m	5m - 6n	if $\frac{6n}{5} \le m \le \frac{3n-3}{2}$

Theorem 12. A chemical graph G minimizes the f=aZagreb topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_{10}$.

Proof. As in the previous theorem, we have $c_{ij} = (\frac{ij}{i+j-2})^3$. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it minimizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. Note that $G \neq \mathsf{P}_n$ since for n = m - 1, the chemical graph G' with $x'_{12} = 1$, $x'_{13} = 2$, $x'_{23} = 1$ and $x'_{22} = m - 4$ would have value $f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{23} \approx f(G) - 9.25$. Hence, $x_{12} \leq x_{23}$.

Consider the five (n, m)-preserving vectors A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5 associated with the five following strictly negative values W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5 :

- $A_1 = (-1, 1, 1, -1, 0)$ is associated with $W_1 = -c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{22} c_{23} \approx -4.62$;
- $A_2 = (0, 1, -2, 0, 1)$ is associated with $W_2 = c_{13} 2c_{22} + c_{33} \approx -1.23$;
- $A_3 = (0, -1, 0, 4, -3)$ is associated with $W_3 = -c_{13} + 4c_{23} 3c_{33} \approx -5.54$;
- $A_4 = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1)$ is associated with $W_4 = -c_{22} + 2c_{23} c_{33} \approx -3.39$;
- $A_5 = (0, -1, 1, 2, -2)$ is associated with $W_5 = -c_{13} + c_{22} + 2c_{23} 2c_{33} \approx -2.15$.

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$ be the (A_1, x_{12}) -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ be the $(A_2, \lfloor \frac{x_{12}^2}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{13}^1);$
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$ be the $(A_3, \min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$;
- $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$ be the $(A_4, \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$;
- $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$ be the $(A_5, \min\{x_{13}^4, |\frac{x_{13}^4}{2}|\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$.

We then have $x_{12}^5 = 0, x_{22}^5 \le 1, x_{13}^5 = 0$ or $x_{33}^5 \le 1$, and $x_{22}^4 + x_{33}^4 \le 1$. There are therefore only 5 possible cases for which we can derive the x_{ij}^5 values using Equations (4) and (5):

- if $x_{22}^5 = x_{33}^5 = 0$ and $x_{13}^5 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m}{3}$ and $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 3$ and $m \mod 3 = 0$;
- if $x_{22}^5 = 1, x_{33}^5 = 0$ and $x_{13}^5 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$ and $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 4$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$;
- if $x_{22}^5 = 0, x_{33}^5 = 1$ and $x_{13}^5 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^5 = \frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$ and $x_{23}^5 = \frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 2$ and $m \mod 3 = 2$;
- if $x_{22}^5 = x_{13}^5 = 0$ and $x_{33}^5 \ge 0$, then $x_{33}^5 = 5m 6n$ and $x_{23}^5 = 6n 4m$, which implies $6n 5m \le 0$;
- if $x_{22}^5 = 1$ and $x_{13}^5 = x_{33}^5 = 0$, then $x_{33}^5 = 5m 6n + 1$ and $x_{23}^5 = 6n 4m 2$, which implies 6n 5m = 1.

Hence all possible x_{ij}^5 values correspond to those in F_{10} . So, let G' be a graph with x_{ij}^5 (i, j)-edges. We have

$$f(G') = f(G) + x_{12}W_1 + \lfloor \frac{x_{12}^2}{2} \rfloor W_2 + \min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\} W_3 + \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\} W_4 + \min\{x_{13}^4, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^4}{2} \rfloor\} W_5.$$

If G does not belong to F_{10} then at least one of the five values x_{12} , $\lfloor \frac{x_{22}^4}{2} \rfloor$, $\min\{\lfloor \frac{x_{33}^2}{3} \rfloor, x_{13}^2\}$, $\min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\}$ and $\min\{x_{13}^4, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^4}{2} \rfloor\}$ is strictly positive, which implies f(G') < f(G), a contradiction.

Definition 16. Family F_{11} is obtained from F_{10} by adding the following possible values:

x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
1 0	$\tfrac{6n-5m-4}{\frac{6n-5m+2}{3}}$	0 0	$\frac{8m-6n+1}{8m-6n-8}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$	if $n - 1 \le m \le \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$
0	1	0	m-3	2	if $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$

Theorem 13. A chemical graph G maximizes the f = Albertson topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_{11}$.

Proof. The Albertson topological index is defined by $c_{ij} = |i - j|$ (see Table 1). Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it maximizes f over all chemical

graphs of order n and size m. Note that the two possible cases for $m = \frac{6n-1}{5}$ have the same value since $c_{13} - c_{22} - 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} = 0$. Also, the case in F_{10} for $m \le \frac{6n-2}{5}$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$ has the same value as the two new possibilities in F_{11} since $c_{12} - c_{13} - c_{22} + c_{23} = c_{13} - c_{22} - 2c_{23} + 2c_{33} = 0$. Moreover, $G \neq \mathsf{P}_n$ since for n = m - 1, the chemical graph G' with $x'_{12} = 1$, $x'_{13} = 2$, $x'_{23} = 1$ and $x'_{22} = m - 4$ would have value $f(G') = f(G) - c_{12} + 2c_{13} - 2c_{22} + c_{23} = f(G) + 4$. Hence, $x_{12} \le x_{23}$.

Consider the five following (n, m)-preserving vectors $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6$:

- $A_1 = (-2, 3, 0, -2, 1)$ is associated with $-2c_{12} + 3c_{13} 2c_{23} + c_{33} = 2;$
- $A_2 = (-1, 2, -1, -1, 1)$ is associated with $-c_{12} + 2c_{13} c_{22} c_{23} + c_{33} = 2;$
- $A_3 = (0, 1, -2, 0, 1)$ is associated with $c_{13} 2c_{22} + c_{33} = 2$;
- $A_4 = (0, 0, -1, 2, -1)$ is associated with $-c_{22} + 2c_{23} c_{33} = 2$;
- $A_5 = (-1, 1, 0, 1, -1)$ is associated with $-c_{12} + c_{13} + c_{23} c_{33} = 2$;
- $A_6 = (0, -1, 0, 4, -3)$ is associated with $-c_{13} + 4c_{23} 3c_{33} = 2$.

Let

- $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$ be the $(A_1, \lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}, x_{13}, x_{22}, x_{23}, x_{33})$;
- $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$ be the $(A_2, \min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^1, x_{13}^1, x_{22}^1, x_{23}^1, x_{33}^1)$;
- $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$ be the $(A_3, \lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor)$ -transform of $(x_{12}^2, x_{13}^2, x_{22}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{33}^2)$;
- $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$ be the $(A_4, \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^3, x_{13}^3, x_{22}^3, x_{23}^3, x_{33}^3)$;
- $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$ be the $(A_5, \min\{x_{12}^4, x_{33}^4\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^4, x_{13}^4, x_{22}^4, x_{23}^4, x_{33}^4)$;
- $(x_{12}^6, x_{13}^6, x_{22}^6, x_{23}^6, x_{33}^6)$ be the $(A_6, \min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\})$ -transform of $(x_{12}^5, x_{13}^5, x_{22}^5, x_{23}^5, x_{33}^5)$.

We then have $x_{12}^6 + x_{22}^6 \le 1$, $\min\{x_{12}^6, x_{33}^6\} = 0$, $\min\{x_{22}^6, x_{33}^6\} = 0$, and $x_{13}^6 = 0$ or $x_{33}^6 \le 2$. Hence, there are 6 possible cases for which we can derive the x_{ij}^6 values using Equations (4) and (5):

- if $x_{12}^6 = 0$, $x_{22}^6 = 1$ and $x_{33}^6 = 0$, then $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m-1}{3}$ and $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n-2}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 1$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$;
- if $x_{12}^6 = 1, x_{22}^6 = 0$ and $x_{33}^6 = 0$, then $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m-4}{3}$ and $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n+1}{3}$, which implies $6n-5m \ge 4$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$;
- if $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = x_{13}^6 = 0$ then $x_{23}^6 = 6n 4m$ and $x_{33}^6 = 5m 6n$, which implies $6n 5m \le 0$;
- if $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = x_{33}^6 = 0$ and $x_{13}^6 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m}{3}$ and $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 3$ and $m \mod 3 = 0$;
- if $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = 0$, $x_{33}^6 = 1$ and $x_{13}^6 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n-5m+1}{3}$ and $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m-6n-4}{3}$, which implies $6n-5m \ge 2$ and $m \mod 3 = 2$;
- if $x_{12}^6 = x_{22}^6 = 0$, $x_{33}^6 = 2$ and $x_{13}^6 \ge 1$, then $x_{13}^6 = \frac{6n 5m + 2}{3}$ and $x_{23}^6 = \frac{8m 6n 8}{3}$, which implies $6n 5m \ge 1$ and $m \mod 3 = 1$.

Hence all possible x_{ij}^6 values correspond to those in F_{11} . So, let G' be a graph with x_{ij}^6 (i, j)-edges. We have

$$\begin{split} f(G') = & f(G) + 2\left(\lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor + \min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\}\right) \\ & + 2\left(\lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor + \min\{x_{22}^3, x_{33}^3\} + \min\{x_{12}^4, x_{33}^4\} + \min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\}\right) \end{split}$$

If G does not belong to F_{11} then at least one of the six values $\lfloor \frac{x_{12}}{2} \rfloor$, $\min\{x_{12}^1, x_{22}^1\}$, $\lfloor \frac{x_{22}^2}{2} \rfloor$, $\min\{x_{33}^2\}$, $\min\{x_{13}^4, x_{33}^4\}$, $\min\{x_{13}^5, \lfloor \frac{x_{33}^5}{3} \rfloor\}$ is strictly positive, which implies f(G') > f(G), a contradiction. \Box

	x_{12}	x_{13}	x_{22}	x_{23}	x_{33}	
	2	0	m-2	0	0	if $m = n - 1$
	0	0	m	0	0	if $m = n$
$(n \ge 8)$	2	0	m-9	2	5	
	1	1	m-8	1	5	
	1	0	m-7	3	3	if $m = n + 1$
	0	1	m-6	2	3	
	0	0	m-5	4	1	
	0	0	3n - 2m - 1	2	3m - 3n - 1	$f_{m} + 1 < m < 3n-3$
	1	0	3n - 2m - 3	1	3m - 3n + 1	$11 n + 1 < m \leq -2$

Definition 17. F_{12} is the set of chemical graphs with the following numbers x_{ij} of (i, j)-edges:

Theorem 14. A chemical graph G minimizes the f = Albertson topological index over all graphs of the same order and size as G if and only if $G \in F_{12}$.

Proof. As in the previous theorem, we have $c_{ij} = |i - j|$. Let G be a chemical graph of order n, size m and with x_{ij} (i, j)-edges. Assume that it minimizes f over all chemical graphs of order n and size m. If m = n - 1, then $G = \mathsf{P}_n$ since $f(\mathsf{P}_n) = 2$ while $f(G) \ge 6$ if $n_3 > 0$. Also, if m = n, then $G = \mathsf{C}_n$ since $f(\mathsf{C}_n) = 0$ while f(G) > 0 if $n_1 + n_3 > 0$ and $m < \frac{3n}{2}$. Hence, in these cases, we have $G \in F_{12}$.

Assume now $m \ge n + 1$. We thus have $x_{12} \le x_{23}$ and f(G) is an even number at least equal to 2 (since $m < \frac{3n}{2}$). To reach value 2, there are only three possibilities:

- if $x_{13} = 1$ and $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$, then $x_{22} = 0$ and Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{22} = 3n 2m 2$ and $x_{33} = 3m - 3n + 1$ which implies $m = \frac{3n-2}{2}$, a contradiction.
- if $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1$ and $x_{13} = 0$, then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{22} = 3n 2m 3$ and $x_{33} = 3m 3n + 1$, which implies m > n + 1 (else $x_{33} = 4$ and $n_3 = 3$ imply $x_{33} = 4 > 3 = \frac{n_3((n_3 1))}{2}$)) and $G \in F_{12}$.
- if $x_{12} = 0$, $x_{23} = 2$ and $x_{13} = 0$, then Equations (4) and (5) give $x_{22} = 3n 2m 1$ and $x_{33} = 3m 3n 1$, which implies m > n + 1 (else $x_{33} = 1$ and $n_3 = 1$ imply $x_{33} = 1 > 0 = \frac{n_3((n_3 1))}{2})$) and $G \in F_{12}$.

Hence, if m > n + 1 then $G \in F_{12}$. The remaining case is m = n + 1 for which $f(G) \ge 4$. There are only six possibilities to reach the minimum value 4:

- if $x_{12} = x_{23} = 2$ and $x_{13} = 0$, then $x_{22} = m 9$ and $x_{33} = 5$.
- if $x_{12} = x_{23} = 1$ and $x_{13} = 1$, then $x_{22} = m 8$ and $x_{33} = 5$.
- if $x_{12} = 1$, $x_{23} = 3$ and $x_{13} = 0$, then $x_{22} = m 7$ and $x_{33} = 3$.
- if $x_{12} = 0$, $x_{23} = 2$ and $x_{13} = 1$, then $x_{22} = m 6$ and $x_{33} = 3$.
- if $x_{12} = 0$, $x_{23} = 4$ and $x_{13} = 0$, then $x_{22} = m 5$ and $x_{33} = 1$.
- if $x_{12} = x_{23} = 0$ and $x_{13} = 2$, then $x_{22} = 0$ and $x_{33} = m 2$, which implies n = 6.

Since G is of order $n \ge 7$, we have $G \in F_{12}$.

6 Conclusion

Many topological indices have been proposed to study the chemical properties of molecules, and many papers focus on extremal graphs for these indices, each paper dealing with a particular index. We have shown that many of these topological indices have the same extremal properties in the sense that the chemical graphs that maximize or minimize the values of these indices are often the same. Thus, for example, for 29 of these indices, one might expect 58 classes of extremal chemical graphs, while 5 families are sufficient to describe them all. Also, for another example, chemical graphs of even order n

for which $x_{13} = \frac{3n-2m}{2}$, $x_{33} = \frac{4m-3n}{2}$ and $x_{12} = x_{22} = x_{23} = 0$ are extremal for 29 topological indices (since these graphs belong to $F_1 \cap F_3$).

Most of the characterizations we have given for extremal graphs are based on a set of 8 values V_1, \ldots, V_8 . If new topological indices are proposed, it is therefore easy to check whether they have the same extremal properties of the indices studied in this paper. Note that some degree-based topological indices that we have not analyzed in this paper do not have any of the stated properties that allow us to characterize their extremal chemical graphs. For example, the reduced reciprocal Randić index (rrRandić) mentioned in [27] and defined by $c_{ij} = \sqrt{(i-1)(j-1)}$ is such that V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4 are strictly negative. An analysis similar to those performed in Section 5 easily shows that the set of extremal chemical graphs of order $n \geq 10$ for the aZagreb index is strictly contained in that for rrRandić.

As Ivan Gutman pointed out [24], "today we have far too many topological indices, and there seems to lack a firm criterion to stop or slow down their proliferation". We believe we have provided a tool to quickly test whether a new topological index has the same extremal properties as many existing indices.

References

- ALI, A., ALBALAHI, A. M., ALANAZI, A. M., BHATTI, A. A., AND HAMZA, A. E. On the maximum sigma index of k-cyclic graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 325 (2023), 58–62.
- [2] ALI, A., DIMITROV, D., DU, Z., AND ISHFAQ, F. On the extremal graphs for general sum-connectivity index ($\chi \alpha$) with given cyclomatic number when α_i 1. Discrete Applied Mathematics 257 (2019), 19–30.
- [3] ALI, A., ELUMALAI, S., AND MANSOUR, T. On the symmetric division deg index of molecular graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 83, 1 (2020), 205–220.
- [4] ALI, A., FURTULA, B., GUTMAN, I., AND VUKIČEVIĆ, D. Augmented zagreb index: extremal results and bounds. MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry (2021).
- [5] ALI, A., FURTULA, B., REDŽEPOVIĆ, I., AND GUTMAN, I. Atom-bond sum-connectivity index. Journal of Mathematical Chemistry 60, 10 (2022), 2081–2093.
- [6] BRINKMANN, G., COOLSAET, K., GOEDGEBEUR, J., AND MÉLOT, H. House of graphs: a database of interesting graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics 161, 1-2 (2013), 311–314.
- [7] CARBALLOSA, W., GRANADOS, A., MÉNDEZ BERMÚDEZ, J. A., PESTANA, D., AND PORTILLA, A. Computational properties of the arithmetic-geometric index. J. Math. Chem. 60, 9 (2022), 1854–1871.
- [8] CHE, Z., AND CHEN, Z. Lower and upper bounds of the forgotten topological index. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 76, 3 (2016), 635–648.
- CHU, Z.-Q., JAMIL, M. K., AND JAVED, A. Extremal hyper zagreb index for tricyclic graphs. International Journal of Applied Mathematics 32, 6 (2020).
- [10] CRUZ, R., GUTMAN, I., AND RADA, J. Sombor index of chemical graphs. Applied Mathematics and Computation 399 (2021), 126018.
- [11] CRUZ, R., AND RADA, J. Extremal values of the sombor index in unicyclic and bicyclic graphs. Journal of Mathematical Chemistry 59 (2021), 1098–1116.
- [12] CUI, S.-Y., WANG, W., TIAN, G.-X., AND WU, B. On the arithmetic-geometric index of graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 85, 1 (2021), 87–107.
- [13] DAS, K. C., GUTMAN, I., AND FURTULA, B. On atom-bond connectivity index. Chemical Physics Letters 511, 4 (2011), 452–454.
- [14] DAS, K. C., XU, K., AND WANG, J. On inverse degree and topological indices of graphs. Filomat 30, 8 (2016), 2111–2120.
- [15] DENG, H., BALACHANDRAN, S., AYYASWAMY, S., AND VENKATAKRISHNAN, Y. On the harmonic index and the chromatic number of a graph. Discrete Applied Mathematics 161, 16 (2013), 2740–2744.
- [16] DENG, H., ELUMALAI, S., AND BALACHANDRAN, S. Maximum and second maximum of geometricarithmetic index of tricyclic graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 79, 2 (2018), 467–475.
- [17] DENG, H., TANG, Z., AND WU, R. Molecular trees with extremal values of sombor indices. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 121, 11 (2021), e26622.

- [18] DEVILLEZ, G., HAUWEELE, P., AND MÉLOT, H. PHOEG Helps to Obtain Extremal Graphs. In Operations Research Proceedings 2018 (GOR (Gesellschaft fuer Operations Research e.V.)) (sept. 12-14 2019), B. Fortz and M. Labbé, Eds., Springer, Cham, p. 251 (Paper 32).
- [19] ELUMALAI, S., HOSAMANI, S. M., MANSOUR, T., AND ROSTAMI, M. A. More on inverse degree and topological indices of graphs. Filomat 32, 1 (2018), 165–178.
- [20] FALAHATI-NEZHAD, F., AZARI, M., AND DOŠLIĆ, T. Sharp bounds on the inverse sum indeg index. Discrete applied mathematics 217 (2017), 185–195.
- [21] FOWLER, P. Private communication, 2024.
- [22] FURTULA, B., GRAOVAC, A., AND VUKIČEVIĆ, D. Augmented zagreb index. Journal of mathematical chemistry 48 (2010), 370–380.
- [23] GHORBANI, M., ZANGI, S., AND AMRAEI, N. New results on symmetric division deg index. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 65, 1-2 (2021), 161–176.
- [24] GUTMAN, I. Degree-based topological indices. Croatica Chemica Acta 86 (2013), 351–361.
- [25] GUTMAN, I. Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021), 11–16.
- [26] GUTMAN, I., AND DAS, K. C. The first zagreb index 30 years after. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 50, 1 (2004), 83–92.
- [27] GUTMAN, I., FURTULA, B., AND ELPHICK, C. Three new/old vertex-degree-based topological indices. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 72 (2014), 617–632.
- [28] HANSEN, P., CAPOROSSI, G., HERTZ, A., AOUCHICHE, M., VUKICEVIĆ, D., AND SELLAL, C. Edge realizability of connected simple graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 78 (2017), 689–712.
- [29] HANSEN, P., AND MÉLOT, H. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. IX. Bounding the irregularity of a graph. In Graphs and discovery, vol. 69 of DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 253–264.
- [30] HANSEN, P., AND VUKICEVIĆ, D. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 23. on the randić index and the chromatic number. Discrete Mathematics 309, 13 (2009), 4228–4234.
- [31] HENNING, M. A., AND RAUTENBACH, D. On the irregularity of bipartite graphs. Discrete Math. 307, 11-12 (2007), 1467–1472.
- [32] LI, S., WANG, Z., AND ZHANG, M. On the extremal sombor index of trees with a given diameter. Applied Mathematics and Computation 416 (2022), 126731.
- [33] LI, X., AND SHI, Y. A survey on the randic index. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 59, 1 (2008), 127–156.
- [34] LIU, H., YOU, L., TANG, Z., AND LIU, J.-B. On the reduced sombor index and its applications. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 86 (2021), 729–753.
- [35] LIU, J., MATEJIC, M., MILOVANOVIC, E., AND MILOVANOVIC, I. Some new inequalities for the forgotten topological index and coindex of graphs. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem 84, 3 (2020), 719–738.
- [36] MCKAY, B. D. Nauty user's guide (version 2.4). Computer Science Dept., Australian National University (2007), 225–239.
- [37] NIKOLIĆ, S., KOVAČEVIĆ, G., MILIČEVIĆ, A., AND TRINAJSTIĆ, N. The zagreb indices 30 years after. Croatica chemica acta 76, 2 (2003), 113–124.
- [38] RANDIĆ, M. Characterization of molecular branching. Journal of the American Chemical Society 97, 23 (1975), 6609–6615.
- [39] RAZA, Z., AND ALI, A. Bounds on the zagreb indices for molecular (n, m)-graphs. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 120, 18 (2020), e26333.
- [40] SEDLAR, J., STEVANOVIĆ, D., AND VASILYEV, A. On the inverse sum indeg index. Discrete Applied Mathematics 184 (2015), 202–212.
- [41] SWARTZ, E., AND VETRÍK, T. Survey on the general randić index: extremal results and bounds. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 52, 4 (2022), 1177–1203.
- [42] VUJOŠEVIĆ, S., POPIVODA, G., VUKIĆEVIĆ, Ž. K., FURTULA, B., AND ŠKREKOVSKI, R. Arithmeticgeometric index and its relations with geometric-arithmetic index. Applied Mathematics and Computation 391 (2021), 125706.
- [43] YUAN, Y., ZHOU, B., AND TRINAJSTIĆ, N. On geometric-arithmetic index. J. Math. Chem. 47, 2 (2010), 833–841.

- [44] ZHANG, X.-M., YANG, Y., WANG, H., AND ZHANG, X.-D. Maximum atom-bond connectivity index with given graph parameters. Discrete Appl. Math. 215 (2016), 208–217.
- [45] ZHONG, L. The harmonic index for graphs. Applied mathematics letters 25, 3 (2012), 561–566.