$\begin{array}{l} {\sf LANCELOT_simple:} \ \ {\bf A} \ \ {\bf Simple} \\ {\bf Interface \ for \ LANCELOT \ B} \end{array}$

N. I. M. Gould, D. Orban, Ph. L. Toint

ISSN: 0711-2440

G-2008-11

February 2008

Les textes publiés dans la série des rapports de recherche HEC n'engagent que la responsabilité de leurs auteurs. La publication de ces rapports de recherche bénéficie d'une subvention du Fonds québécois de la recherche sur la nature et les technologies.

LANCELOT_simple: A Simple Interface for LANCELOT B

Nick I.M. Gould

Oxford University Computing Laboratory
Wolfson Building, Parks Road
Oxford OX1 3QD, England
nick.gould@comlab.ox.ac.uk

Dominique Orban

GERAD and Mathematics and Industrial Engineering Department École Polytechnique de Montréal Montréal (Québec) Canada, H3C 3A7 dominique.orban@gerad.ca

Philippe L. Toint

Department of Mathematics FUNDP-University of Namur 61, rue de Bruxelles B-5000 Namur, Belgium philippe.toint@fundp.ac.be

February 2008

Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2008-11

Copyright © 2008 GERAD

Abstract

We describe LANCELOT_simple, an interface to the LANCELOT B nonlinear optimization package within the GALAHAD library (Gould, Orban and Toint, 2003) which ignores problem structure. The result is an easy-to-use Fortran 90 subroutine, with a small number of intuitively interpretable arguments. However, since structure is ignored, the means of presenting problems to the solver limited and the choice of algorithmic parameters considerably restricted, the performance is likely to be sub-optimal. The proposed interface may nevertheless be useful for users interested in the solution of simple low-dimensional problems when ease of interface matters more than actual numerical efficiency.

Résumé

Ce rapport décrit l'interface LANCELOT_simple au logiciel d'optimisation non-linéaire LANCELOT B, une composante de la librairie GALAHAD (Gould et al., 2003). Cette interface ignore la structure du problème. Le résultat est une interface Fortran 90 simple d'utilisation, comportant un petit nombre d'arguments intuitifs. Cependant, la structure étant ignorée, les possibilités de présenter des problèmes au solveur sont limitées et le choix de paramètres algorithmiques est considérablement restreint. Ainsi, la performance s'en trouvera vraisemblablement être sous-optimale. Cette interface sera néanmoins utile aux utilisateurs cherchant à résoudre des problèmes plus simples et de dimensions modestes, lorsque la simplicité d'utilisation prime sur l'efficacité numérique.

Acknowledgments: Many thanks to Anke Troeltzsch (CERFACS) for her most useful suggestions and to A. Neculai and L. Watson for motivating us to provide this interface.

1 Introduction

This note presents LANCELOT_simple, a simple and somewhat *naive* Fortran 90 interface to the sophisticated optimization package LANCELOT B, whose aim is to solve the nonlinear optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x),$$

possibly subject to constraints of the one or more of the forms

$$b_l \le x \le b_u,$$

$$c_e(x) = 0,$$

$$c_i(x) \leq 0,$$

where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $c_e: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $c_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$ are twice-continuously differentiable functions, and b_l , b_u are vectors of \mathbb{R}^n whose components are allowed to be arbitrarily large in absolute value. LANCELOT B is one of the packages contained in the GALAHAD software library for numerical nonlinear optimization⁽¹⁾.

Why do we call this interface naive? At variance with more elaborate interfaces for LANCELOT, the one presented here completely ignores any underlying partial separability or sparsity structure, limits the forms under which the problem can be presented to the solver and drastically restricts the range of available algorithmic options. While undoubtedly simpler to use than its more elaborate counterpart, it may thus offer inferior numerical performance, especially for difficult/large problems, where structure exploitation and/or careful selection of algorithmic variants matter. Thus, be warned that

THE BEST PERFORMANCE OBTAINABLE WITH LANCELOT B IS MOST LIKELY NOT WITH THIS NAIVE INTERFACE.

2 How to use the interface

Before using LANCELOT_simple, its module must be made available:

```
USE LANCELOT_simple_double
```

The complete calling sequence⁽²⁾ for LANCELOT_simple is as follows:

where the arguments within brackets are optional. The following sections indicate how this calling sequence can be used in commonly occurring contexts.

⁽¹⁾ See http://galahad.rl.ac.uk/galahad-www/index.html.

⁽²⁾ The reference package specification document is available in the GALAHAD installation tree in the file .../doc/lancelot_simple.pdf.

2.1 Unconstrained minimization problems

The user should provide, at the very least, suitable values for the following input arguments:

- n (integer): the number n of variables,
- X (double precision vector of size n): the starting point for the minimization

In addition the user must provide a subroutine to compute the objective function value at any given x, with the default name and interface

```
FUN( X, fx )
```

where X(1:n) contains the values of the variables x on input, and fx is a double precision scalar returning the value f(x). If no further information is given, the problem is taken to be unconstrained, and derivatives of f are unavailable (gradients will be estimated by forward finite-differences by the LANCELOT package, and Hessian approximated using the Symmetric-Rank-One quasi-Newton update).

The best value of x found by LANCELOT B is returned to the user in the vector \mathbf{X} and the associated objective function value in the double precision output argument \mathbf{fx} . In addition, the integer output argument $\mathbf{exit_code}$ contains the exit status of the LANCELOT run, the value 0 indicating a successful run. Other values indicate errors in the input or unsuccessful runs, and are detailed in the specification documentation for LANCELOT B (with the exception of the value 19 in the constrained case discussed later, which reports a negative value for one or both input arguments \mathbf{nin} and \mathbf{neq}).

It is also possible to specify a different name for the objective function evaluation subroutine, replacing FUN by, say, FUNPROB. This is accomplished by specifying the optional argument MY_FUN to have the value FUNPROB. This is, in turn, best done by including the explicit declaration MY_FUN = FUNPROB in the calling sequence to LANCELOT_simple after the leading four compulsory arguments, as well as the declaring FUNPROB as external. Note that FUNPROB must have the same calling sequence as FUN.

If the user is able to provide the gradient of f, then the optional input argument MY_GRAD must be specified and given the name of the user-supplied routine for computing the gradient, say GRADPROB. This subroutine must have an interface of the form

```
GRADPROB( X, G )
```

where G is a double precision vector of size n in which the subroutine returns the value of the gradient of f at x = X. The calling sequence to LANCELOT_simple must thus contain (in this case), MY_GRAD = GRADPROB, and a declaration of GRADPROB as external.

If, additionally, the user is willing to compute the second-derivative matrix of f at x, the optional input argument MY_HESS must be specified and given the name of the user-supplied routine computing the Hessian, say HESSPROB. This must have an interface of the form

```
HESSPROB( X, H)
```

where H is a double precision vector of size n*(n+1)/2 in which the subroutine returns the entries of the upper triangular part of the Hessian of f at x = X, stored by columns. The calling sequence to LANCELOT_simple must thus contain (in this case), MY_HESS = HESSPROB, and a declaration of HESSPROB as external. Note that first derivatives must also be available in this case, and MY_GRAD must thus be specified.

The names of the problem variables may be specified in the optional input argument

VNAMES (a size n vector of character fields of length 10)

by inserting VNAMES = mynames in the calling sequence.

One final feature is that by specifying the optional integer argument iters = lanit, the number of iterations performed by LANCELOT is recorded in lanit.

Example

Let us consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} f(x_1, x_2) = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2,$$

which is the renowned Rosenbrock "banana" problem. The most basic way to solve the problem (but not the most efficient) is, given the starting point X = (/-1.2d0, 1.0d0), to

```
CALL LANCELOT_simple( 2, X, fx, exit_code )
```

where the function FUN is given by

```
SUBROUTINE FUN( X, F )

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( IN ) :: X( : )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( OUT ) :: F

F = 100.0_wp*(X(2)-X(1)**2)**2 +(1.0_wp-X(1))**2

RETURN

END SUBROUTINE FUN
```

After compiling and linking (with the GALAHAD modules and library), the solution is returned in 60 iterations (with exit_code = 0). The same effect is obtained by the call

```
CALL LANCELOT_simple( 2, X, fx, exit_code, MY_FUN = FUN )
```

but this syntax allows the change of name from FUN to, say, ROSENBROCK_FUN in the user-supplied program, as discussed above.

If we now wish to use first and second derivatives of the objective function, one could use the call

provide the additional routines

```
SUBROUTINE ROSENBROCK_GRAD( X, G )

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( IN ) :: X( : )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( OUT ) :: G( : )

G( 1 ) = -400.0_wp*(X(2)-X(1)**2)*X(1)-2.0_wp*(1.0_wp-X(1))

G( 2 ) = 200.0_wp*(X(2)-X(1)**2)

RETURN

END SUBROUTINE ROSENBROCK_GRAD
```

and

```
SUBROUTINE ROSENBROCK_HESS( X, H )

INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( IN ) :: X( : )

REAL( KIND = wp ), INTENT( OUT ) :: H( : )

H( 1 ) = -400.0_wp*(X(2)-3.0_wp*X(1)**2)+2.0_wp

H( 2 ) = -400.0_wp*X(1)

H( 3 ) = 200.0_wp

RETURN

END SUBROUTINE ROSENBROCK_HESS
```

and declare them external in the calling program.

Convergence is then obtained in 23 iterations. Note that using exact first-derivatives only is also possible: MY_HESS should then be absent from the calling sequence and providing the subroutine ROSENBROCK_HESS is unnecessary.

2.2 Bound constrained minimization problems

Bounds on the problem variables may be imposed by specifying one or both of

BL (double precision vector of size n): the lower bounds b_l on the variables, BU (double precision vector of size n): the upper bounds b_u on the variables.

Note that infinite bounds (represented by a number larger than 10^{20} in absolute value) are acceptable, as well as equal lower and upper bounds, which amounts to fixing the corresponding variables. Except for the specification of BL and/or BU, the interface is identical to that for unconstrained problems described in the previous paragraph.

Example

If one now wishes to impose zero upper bounds on the variables of our unconstrained problem and give names to the variables and the objective function, one could use the following call

in which case convergence is obtained in 6 iterations.

2.3 Equality constrained minimization problems

If, additionally, general equality constraints are also present in the problem, this must be declared by specifying the following additional optional input argument

neq (integer): the number m of equality constraints.

In this case, the equality constraints are numbered from 1 to neq and the value of the i-th equality constraint must be computed by a user-supplied routine of the form

```
FUNPROB(X, fx, i) \qquad (i = 1,...,neq)
```

where the fx now returns the value of the i-th equality constraint evaluated at x = X if i is specified. (This extension of the unconstrained case is best implemented by adding an optional argument i to the unconstrained version of FUN.) If derivatives are available, then the GRADPROB and (possibly) HESSPROB subroutines must be adapted as well

```
GRADPROB( X, G, i ) HESSPROB( X, H, i ) ( i = 1,...,neq )
```

to compute the gradient and Hessian of the *i*-th constraint at x = X. The constraints may be assigned names in the optional input argument

CNAMES (a size neg array of character fields of length 10)

The name of constraint i should appear in CNAMES(i) for each i = 1, ..., neq.

Note that, if the gradient of the objective function is provided, so must the gradients of the equality constraints. The same level of derivative availability is assumed for all problem functions (objective and constraints). The final values of the constraints and the values of their associated Lagrange multipliers are optionally returned to the user in the double precision output arguments CX and Y, respectively (both being of size neq).

2.4 Inequality constrained minimization problems

If inequality constraints are present in the problem, they are included just as for equality constraints. One needs to specify the optional input argument

nin (integer): the number q of inequality constraints.

The inequality constraints are then numbered from 1 to nin and their values or that of their derivatives is again computed by calling, for i = 1,...,nin,

```
FUNPROB( X, fx, i ) GRADPROB( X, G, i ) HESSPROB( X, H, i )
```

The inequality constraints are internally converted to equations by adding slack variables, whose names are set to Slack_i, where the character i in this string takes the integers values 1 to nin.) As in the equality-constrained case, the name of constraint i (i = 1, ..., nin) may be specified in CNAMES(i). The values of the inequality constraints at the final X are returned (as for equalities) in the optional double precision output argument CX of size nin. The values of the corresponding Lagrange multipliers are returned in the optional double precision output argument Y of size nin.

2.5 Minimization problems with equality and inequality constraints

If there are both equalities and inequalities, neq and nin must be specified and the values and derivatives of the constraints are computed by

```
FUNPROB( X, fx, i ) GRADPROB( X, G, i ) HESSPROB( X, H, i ) (i=1,...,neq)
```

for the equality constraints, and

```
FUNPROB( X, fx, i ) GRADPROB( X, G, i ) HESSPROB( X, H, i ) (i=neq+1,neq+nin)
```

for the inequality constraints. The components $i=1,\ldots,$ neq of the vector CNAMES now contain the names of the neq equality constraints and components i=neq+1, ..., neq + nin, the names of the nin inequality constraints. Again, the same level of derivative availability is assumed for all problem functions (objective and constraints). Finally, the optional arguments CX and/or Y, if used, now have size neq+nin.

2.6 An example

Suppose we now consider the problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} f(x_1, x_2) = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2,$$
(2.1)

under the constraints

$$0 \le x_1, \qquad x_1 + 3x_2 - 3 = 0, \qquad x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4 \le 0$$
 (2.2)

To solve this problem, we now use the call

```
CALL LANCELOT_simple( 2, X, fx, exit_code, BL = (/0.0D0, -1.0D20/), &

MY_FUN = FUN, MY_GRAD = GRAD, MY_HESS = HESS, &

neq = 1, nin = 1, CX = cx, Y = y) &
```

(assuming we wish to examine cx and y), and modify the FUN, GRAD and HESS functions as follows

```
SUBROUTINE FUN ( X, F, i )
  INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 ) ! set precision
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( IN ) :: X( : )
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( OUT ) :: F
  INTEGER, INTENT ( IN ), OPTIONAL
  IF ( .NOT. PRESENT( i ) ) THEN
     the objective function value (user defined)
F = 100.0_{\text{wp}} * (X(2) - X(1) * * 2) * * 2 + (1.0_{\text{wp}} - X(1)) * * 2
   ELSE
     SELECT CASE ( i )
       CASE (1)
          the equality constraint value (user defined)
   ______
          F = X(1)+3.0_{wp}*X(2)-3.0_{wp}
------
       CASE (2)
          the inequality constraint value (user defined)
 ______
          F = X(1)**2+X(2)**2-4.0_wp
______
     END SELECT
   END IF
   RETURN
  END SUBROUTINE FUN
SUBROUTINE GRAD ( X, G, i )
  INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 ) ! set precision
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( IN ) :: X( : )
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( OUT ) :: G( : )
```

```
INTEGER, INTENT( IN ), OPTIONAL :: i
  IF ( .NOT. PRESENT( i ) ) THEN
   the objective functions's gradient components (user defined)
|-----
     G(1) = -400.0_{\text{wp}} * (X(2) - X(1) * * 2) * X(1) - 2.0_{\text{wp}} * (1.0_{\text{wp}} - X(1))
     G(2) = 200.0 \text{ wp}*(X(2)-X(1)**2)
|-----
  ELSE
     SELECT CASE ( i )
       CASE (1)
          the equality constraint's gradient components (user defined)
|-----
          G(1) = 1.0_{wp}
          G(2) = 3.0_{Wp}
| ------
       CASE ( 2 )
          the inequality constraint's gradient components (user defined)
G(1) = 2.0_{wp} * X(1)
         G(2) = 2.0_{wp} * X(2)
______
     END SELECT
  END IF
  RETURN
  END SUBROUTINE GRAD
  SUBROUTINE HESS( X, H, i )
!......
  INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 ) ! set precision
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( IN ) :: X( : )
  REAL ( KIND = wp ), INTENT ( OUT ) :: H( : )
  INTEGER, INTENT( IN ), OPTIONAL :: i
  IF ( .NOT. PRESENT( i ) ) THEN
     the entries of the upper triangle of the objective function's
     Hessian matrix, stored by columns (user defined)
  ______
     H(1) = -400.0_{wp}*(X(2)-3.0_{wp}*X(1)**2)+2.0_{wp}
     H(2) = -400.0 \text{ wp} * X(1)
     H(3) = 200.0_{wp}
|-----
  ELSE
     SELECT CASE ( i )
       CASE (1)
          the entries of the upper triangle of the equality
          constraint's Hessian matrix, stored by columns (user defined)
          H(1) = 0.0_{yp}
          H(2) = 0.0_{yp}
          H(3) = 0.0_{yp}
CASE ( 2 )
```

Convergence is then obtained in 8 iterations. Note that, in our example, the objective function or its derivatives is/are computed if the index i is omitted.

3 Available algorithmic options

Beyond the choice of derivative level for the problem functions, the following optional arguments allow a (very limited) control of the algorithmic choices available in LANCELOT:

```
maxit (integer): maximum number of iterations (default if absent: 1000)
```

gradtol (double precision): the threshold on the maximum infinity norm of the gradient (or of the Lagrangian's gradient) required for declaring convergence (default if absent: 1.0d-5),

feastol (double precision): the threshold on the maximum infinity norm of the constraint violation required for declaring convergence (for constrained problems) (default if absent: 1.0d-5),

print_level (integer): a positive number proportional to the amount of output by the package: 0 corresponds to the silent mode, 1 to a single line of information per iteration (the default if absent), while higher values progressively produce more output.

Users should keep in mind that more elaborate algorithmic options are available when using more elaborate interfaces to the LANCELOT code within GALAHAD.

The full call corresponding to our constrained example could be

```
CALL LANCELOT_simple( 2, X, fx, exit_code,

FUN = FUN, GRAD = GRAD, HESS = HESS,

&
BL = BL, BU = BU, VNAMES = VNAMES,

CNAMES = CNAMES, neq = 1, nin = 1,

CX = cx, Y = y, ITERS = iters, maxit = 100,

gradtol = 1.0d-5, feastol = 1.0d-5,

print_level = 1)
```

4 Compiling and linking

Of course, the interface described above is an interface within the GALAHAD library. Its use therefore requires the *a priori* installation of this library and the necessary mechanisms to access its content.

At compile time, the Fortran 90 compiler must be informed of the particular module where the interface can be found. Assuming GALAHAD is properly installed in double precision and that the

environmental variable⁽³⁾ GALAHAD has been set to point to the main GALAHAD directory (see Gould et al., 2003 for details), the relevant Fortran 90 module can be found in the directory

```
$GALAHAD/modules/pc.lnx.195/double
```

where the string pc.lnx.195 indicates the particular architecture under which the GALAHAD library has been installed (in this case, a PC under Linux and the Lahay lf95 Fortran 90 compiler). This information needs to be provided to the compiler via an architecture-dependent flag (typically -I, -M or -module; see the makefile variable MODULES for your architecture in the directory \$GALAHAD/makefiles).

Similarly, the linker must be able to include the relevant GALAHAD objects into the executable code. These objects are the GALAHAD library proper, as well as the BLAS, LAPACK, HSL and METIS libraries, which may all be found (in their public domain versions) in the directory

```
$GALAHAD/objects/pc.lnx.195/double
```

The link command should therefore include a string of the form

```
-L$GALAHAD/objects/pc.lnx.195/double -lgalahad -lgalahad_hsl \
-lgalahad_metis -lgalahad_lapack -lgalahad_blas
```

for proper execution.

5 Single precision version

A single precision version is also available in the GALAHAD library. All real LANCELOT_simple should then be defined in single precision.

6 Other sources of information

The user is encouraged to consult the specsheet of the (non-naive) interface to LANCELOT within the GALAHAD software library for a better view of all possibilities offered by an intelligent use of the package. The library is described in Gould et al. (2003). The book by Conn, Gould and Toint (1992) is also a good source of additional information.

References

- A. R. Conn, N. I. M. Gould, and Ph. L. Toint. LANCELOT, A Fortran Package for Large-Scale Non-linear Optimization (Release A). Number 17 in 'Springer Series in Computational Mathematics'. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- N. I. M. Gould, D. Orban, and Ph. L. Toint. GALAHAD—a library of thread-safe fortran 90 packages for large-scale nonlinear optimization. *Transactions of the ACM on Mathematical Software*, 29(4), 353–372, December 2003.

⁽³⁾ in the Bourne or C-shell.

Appendix: example calling code and makefile

In order to clarify the use of the interface as much as possible, we provide in this appendix the full Fortran 90 code of a calling program corresponding to our constrained example (2.1)-(2.2) of Section 2.6. The necessary subroutines FUN, GRAD and HESS are those of Section 2.6 and are assumed to be appended to calling program. We also give a makefile for compiling/linking this program into an executable file. These are intended as a base which can be modified to suit particular user needs.

The code of the calling program⁽⁴⁾ is the following.

```
PROGRAM RUN_LANCELOT_simple
    This programs provides a simple (naive) way to run LANCELOT B on an
    optimization problem without interaction with CUTEr and using a simple !
    representation of the problem where the objective function and
    constraints comprise each a single group with a single nonlinear
    element.
    The use of this program and the accompanying script lanb_simple
    requires that the GALAHAD package has prealably be installed.
    Ph. L. Toint, November 2007.
    Copyright reserved, Gould/Orban/Toint, for GALAHAD productions
   USE LANCELOT_simple_double
    IMPLICIT NONE
    INTEGER, PARAMETER :: wp = KIND( 1.0D+0 ) ! set precision
    REAL ( KIND = wp ), PARAMETER :: infinity = 10.0_wp ** 20
    INTEGER :: n, neq, nin, iters, maxit, print_level, exit_code
    REAL ( KIND = wp ) :: gradtol, feastol, fx
    CHARACTER ( LEN = 10 ), ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: VNAMES, CNAMES
    REAL ( KIND = wp ),
                           ALLOCATABLE, DIMENSION(:) :: BL, BU, X, CX, Y
    EXTERNAL :: FUN, GRAD, HESS
 THE TEST PROBLEM DIMENSIONS (user defined)
       = 2 ! number of variables
   neq = 1 ! number of equality constraints, excluding fixed variables
   nin = 1  ! number of inequality (<= 0) constraints, excluding bounds</pre>
 allocate space for problem defining vectors
    ALLOCATE( X( n ), BL( n ), BU( n ), CX( neq+nin ), Y( neq+nin ) )
    ALLOCATE( VNAMES( n ), CNAMES( neq+nin ) )
 starting point
```

⁽⁴⁾ This code is available in the GALAHAD installation tree in the file ../src/lanb_simple/run_lancelot_simple.f90.

```
X(1) = -1.2_{wp}
                                   ! starting point (componentwise)
   X(2) = 1.0_{wp}
 bounds on the variables
   BL(1) = 0.0_{wp}
                                  ! lower bounds (componentwise)
   BL(2) = -infinity
   BU(1) = infinity
                                  ! upper bounds (componentwise)
   BU(2) = 3.0_wp
! names
    VNAMES(1) = 'x1'
                                  ! variables
   VNAMES(2) = 'x2'
   CNAMES(1) = 'Equality'
                                  ! equality constraints
   CNAMES(2) = 'Inequality'
                                  ! inequality constraints
! algorithmic parameters
               = 100
   maxit
   gradtol
               = 0.00001_{wp}
                                  ! identical to default
   feastol = 0.00001_wp
                                  ! identical to default
   print_level = 1
                                  ! identical to default
! solve by calling LANCELOT B
   CALL LANCELOT_simple( n, X, fx, exit_code,
                          MY_FUN = FUN, MY_GRAD = GRAD, MY_HESS = HESS,
                          BL = BL, BU = BU, VNAMES = VNAMES,
                          CNAMES = CNAMES, neq = neq, nin = nin,
                          CX = CX, Y = Y, ITERS = iters, MAXIT = maxit, &
                          GRADTOL = gradtol, FEASTOL = feastol,
                          PRINT_LEVEL = print_level )
 clean up
   DEALLOCATE( X, BL, BU, CX, Y)
   DEALLOCATE ( VNAMES, CNAMES )
   STOP
END PROGRAM RUN_LANCELOT_simple
```

The makefile⁽⁵⁾ is then given for the specific default DOUBLE PRECSION case where

- the Lahay lf95 Fortran compiler is used,
- the architecture specified at the installation of the GALAHAD library is pc.linx.l95 (running on a PC under linux with lf95),
- the environment variable \$GALAHAD has been set properly (as recommended in the installation of the GALAHAD library).

 $^{^{(5)}}$ This makefile is available in the GALAHAD installation tree in the file ../src/lanb_simple/bin/Makefile.

```
# Makefile
                                                              #
    compiles and links the simple 1-element naive interface
    to LANCELOT B, with the program RUN_LANCELOT_simple.f90.
    This makefile requires the prior installation of the
    GALAHAD package, including the definition of the GALAHAD
    environment variable (This variable needs to point to the main
                                                              #
                                                              #
    directory in which the GALAHAD package has been installed.)
                                                              #
    D. Orban, December 2007.
    Copyright reserved, Gould/Orban/Toint, for GALAHAD productions
FORTRAN = 1f95
FFLAGS =
ARCH
     = pc.lnx.195
PREC
      = double
MAIN = run_lancelot_simple
USRLIBS =
GALMODULES = ${GALAHAD}/modules/$(ARCH)/$(PRECISION)
GALLIBDIR = ${GALAHAD}/objects/$(ARCH)/$(PRECISION)
GALLIBS = -lgalahad -lgalahad_blas -lgalahad_hsl -lgalahad_metis
%.o: %.f90
   $(FC) $(FFLAGS) -c -I$(GALMODULES) $+
all:: $(MAIN)
$(MAIN): lancelot_simple.o $(MAIN).o
   $(FORTRAN) $(FFLAGS) -o $@ $+ -L$(GALLIBDIR) $(GALLIBS) $(USRLIBS)
clean::
   rm -f *.o *.mod
```

Modifying this makefile for another example, another compiler or another precision requires (possibly) adapting the definitions of the name of the calling program (here run_lancelot_naive.f90), the variables MAIN, USRLIBS, FORTRAN, ARCH and PREC. The options in the compiling and linking commands may also vary for other Fortran 90 compilers. This can be achieved by issuing commands of the type

```
make FORTRAN=g95 ARCH=mac.osx.g95 PREC=single MAIN=spamalot USRLIBS=-lg2c
```

In our example (which corresponds to the default settings in the makefile), the simple commands

```
make
run_lancelot_simple
```

produce the following output:

```
**************
             *
                             LANCELOT_simple
                a simple interface to GALAHAD/LANCELOT B
              *************
Penalty parameter 1.0000E-01 Required projected gradient norm = 1.0000E-01
                              Required constraint
                                                     norm =
****** Starting optimization *******
                3 variables
There are
There are
                3 groups
                3 nonlinear elements
There are
Objective function value
                           1.0100000000000E+02
                           2.0000000000000E+00
Constraint norm
cgend #free
                                    rho
                                            radius
                                                     step
                                     _
                                                                          0.0
               1 3.83E+01 5.8E+01 8.9E-01 1.0E+00 1.0E+00 -CURV
2 1.01E+02 3.0E+00 9.8E-02 1.0E+00 1.0E+00 BOUND
3 7.71E+00 2.1E+00 1.1E+00 1.0E+00 8.7E-01 CONVR
                                                                           0.0
   2
                                                                           0.0
   3
                                                                           0.0
   4
               4 4.85E-01 2.2E+00 1.1E+00 1.7E+00 5.2E-01 CONVR
                                                                           0.0
               5 2.73E-02 8.5E-01 1.1E+00 1.7E+00 9.9E-02 CONVR
6 2.32E-02 2.1E-02 1.1E+00 1.7E+00 1.1E-02 CONVR
   5
                                                                      3
                                                                           0.0
                                                                           0.0
Iteration number
                                Merit function value
                                                             2.31890590071E-02
No. derivative evals
                                 Projected gradient norm =
                                                             2.08773257903E-02
C.G. iterations
                              6
                                Trust-region radius
                                                        =
                                                           1.73866572139E+00
Number of updates skipped
                              0
              3 variables and
                                   0 active bounds
There are
Times for Cauchy, systems, products and updates 0.00
                                                                0.00
                                                          0.00
                                                                          0.00
Exact Cauchy step computed
Bandsolver preconditioned C.G. (semi-bandwidth = 5) used
Infinity-norm trust region used
Non-monotone descent strategy ( history = 1 ) used
Exact second derivatives used
Objective function value
                           2.30556773462821E-02
                           1.0000E-01
Penalty parameter
Projected gradient norm =
                           2.0877E-02 Required gradient norm =
                                                                   1.0000E-01
                           5.1647E-03 Required constraint norm =
Constraint
                  norm =
                                                                   1.0000E-01
****** Updating multiplier estimates ******
Penalty parameter 1.0000E-01 Required projected gradient norm =
                                                                   1.0000E-02
                              Required constraint
                                                                   1.2589E-02
                                                          norm =
               .it f proj.g
6 2.36E-02 1.5E-01
 Iter #g.ev c.g.it
                                      rho
                                            radius
                                                     step
                                                            cgend #free
         7
                                     _
   6
                                                                      3
                                                                           0.0
               7 2.34E-02 3.4E-04 1.0E+00 1.0E+00 3.6E-03 CONVR
Iteration number
                                 Merit function value
                                                             2.34466960383E-02
                                 Projected gradient norm =
No. derivative evals
                              8
                                                             3.42123908435E-04
                                 Trust-region radius = 1.0000000000E+00
C.G. iterations
                              7
Number of updates skipped
                              0
```

```
There are
               3 variables and
                                    0 active bounds
Times for Cauchy, systems, products and updates 0.00
                                                           0.00
                                                                   0.00
                                                                           0.00
Exact Cauchy step computed
Bandsolver preconditioned C.G. (semi-bandwidth =
                                                     5) used
Infinity-norm trust region used
Non-monotone descent strategy ( history = 1 ) used
Exact second derivatives used
Objective function value
                            2.33210093681760E-02
Penalty parameter
                            1.0000E-01
Projected gradient norm =
                            3.4212E-04 Required gradient norm =
                                                                    1.0000E-02
                                                                   1.2589E-02
                            1.5101E-04 Required constraint norm =
Constraint
                  norm =
****** Updating multiplier estimates *******
Penalty parameter 1.0000E-01 Required projected gradient norm =
                                                                    1.0000E-03
                                                           norm = 1.5849E-03
                               Required constraint
                                                             cgend #free
 Iter #g.ev c.g.it f proj.g rho 7 8 7 2.34E-02 5.2E-03 -
                                      rho
                                             radius
                                                      step
                                                                       3
                                                                            0.0
               8 2.34E-02 2.5E-07 1.0E+00 1.0E+00 6.2E-05 CONVR
Iteration number
                               8 Merit function value
                                                              2.34391260921E-02
No. derivative evals
                                Projected gradient norm =
                                                              2.45864887574E-07
                               8 Trust-region radius = 1.0000000000E+00
C.G. iterations
Number of updates skipped
                               0
There are
               3 variables and
                                   0 active bounds
Times for Cauchy, systems, products and updates 0.00
                                                           0.00 0.00
                                                                           0.00
Exact Cauchy step computed
Bandsolver preconditioned C.G. ( semi-bandwidth =
                                                     5) used
Infinity-norm trust region used
Non-monotone descent strategy ( history = 1 ) used
Exact second derivatives used
                            2.33135045070784E-02
Objective function value
                      =
                            1.0000E-01
Penalty parameter
                            2.4586E-07 Required gradient norm =
Projected gradient norm =
                                                                    1.0000E-03
Constraint
                  norm =
                            2.9716E-06 Required constraint norm =
                                                                    1.5849E-03
Variable name Number Status
                                Value Lower bound Upper bound | Dual value
                      FREE 8.4750E-01 0.0000E+00 1.0000E+20 2.4586E-07
FREE 7.1750E-01 -1.0000E+20 3.0000E+00 | -5.0502E-08
FREE 2.7669E+00 0.0000E+00 1.0000E+20 | 2.3343E-08
                 1
 x1
 x2
                 2
 Slack_1
                 3
Constraint name Number
                         Value
                                   Scale factor | Lagrange multiplier
      -----
                         ----
   Equality 1
                      -1.2974E-06 1.0000E+00
                                                      5.0124E-02
   Inequality
                       -2.9716E-06 1.0000E+00 |
                                                      2.3343E-08
                         2.33135045070784E-02
Objective function value
                3 variables in total.
There are
There are
                2 equality constraints.
Of these
                1 are primal degenerate.
                O variables on their bounds.
There are
Of these
               0 are dual degenerate.
```